

Objector - 21 Barclay Road

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 12 October 2025 22:39

To: Tucker Matt: H&F <Matt.Tucker@lbhf.gov.uk>

Subject: Further supplemental for Amigos application hearing 14 Oct 2025. Quotes from 7 Oct 2025 published decision for 2025/00470/LAPR

Further supplemental for Amigos application hearing on 14 Oct 2025. Quotes from 7 Oct 2025 published decision for 2025/00470/LAPR

Dear H&F Licensing Authority,

On 7 October 2025 H&F Licensing Authority published the 5-page FINAL DECISION notice to reject the application 2025/00470/LAPR of Kaspas 385 North End Road which was considered by the H&F Licensing sub-committee at a 23 July 2025 hearing. We are looking at this five-page decision notice for the first time now, over this weekend. We are compelled to bring it to the attention of the Licensing sub-committee members for a new hearing, relating to a different application, on 14 October 2025.

Two of the sub-committee members for the 23 July 2025 hearing were different than those tonight. We therefore want to make sure that tonight's members are aware of this 7 Oct decision.

Residents fully understand that each licensing application must be decided on its own merits. Although applications are very different and thus unique, many of the concerns of residents and the real life experiences of residents in the North End Road street market neighbourhood are consistent with those given at previous hearings. The Licensing Committee is well aware that at least from 2022 onwards, very concerning, cumulative evidence continues to gather as each licensing application is considered for the street market neighbourhood of North End Road and its 18 side roads. It is this cumulative knowledge that informs us all. It is our daily/nightly experience here in this part of Fulham.

From the 7 Oct Decision Notice....(We have underlined certain points)

Reasons

From page 3

6. In making its decision the Committee has taken into account all relevant sections of its SLP and the Guidance as well as local knowledge.

8. The local residents objected to the Application on the grounds of the prevention of crime and disorder, the prevention of public nuisance, and public safety. The local residents objected on the basis that the area suffers from antisocial behaviour and public nuisance. They stated that granting the Application would exacerbate the antisocial behaviour and crime in the area, as it would increase the number of people congregating near the Premises, causing noise nuisance, crime, and littering.

From page 4

9. The residents and the Ward Councillor also expressed concerns about noise nuisance from delivery drivers, especially during late-night deliveries, as the Premises is located in a residential area with professionals and families with young children, whose sleep would be affected by delivery drivers going up and down the roads at night.

One resident further noted that his daughter had received lewd comments from drivers when arriving home late at night from work, causing her to feel unsafe. She is now having to arrive home by Uber.

The residents also pointed out that the hours sought fall outside the recommended hours in the policy, and stated that granting the Application would be an extreme departure from the Council's policy.

The residents stated that the Applicant has not provided any justification in their Application that would warrant the Committee departing from its policy.

10. Furthermore, the residents stated that they have no confidence that the Applicant would abide by the conditions of the licence, as the Applicant has previously been in breach of licensing laws by serving hot food without a licence past 23:00. The Committee noted the receipt provided by residents as proof that hot food had been sold past 23:00 without a licence. The residents stated that this breach of licensing laws demonstrated that the Applicant would not adhere to any conditions imposed, should the Application be granted.

13. The Committee noted the concerns of the local residents, who stated that the Applicant had been breaching licensing laws by serving food after 23:00 without a licence. The Committee expressed concern as to whether the Applicant understands the licensing laws and whether they would be capable of adhering to any conditions imposed on the licence and promoting the licensing objectives, given that they had already breached licensing laws and appeared unaware of what constitutes a late-night refreshment.

From page 5

14. The Committee further noted concerns about a likely increase in antisocial behaviour and noise nuisance and agreed with the local residents that extending the operating hours would negatively impact the area, given its history of antisocial behaviour and crime. The Committee was of the opinion that, although the Applicant had agreed with the police to reduce the hours applied for, the increase in licensable activities would still affect residents near the Premises due to the area's strong history of antisocial behaviour. The Committee also noted that the noise nuisance would be worsened by the increase in delivery drivers in the area, which would negatively affect local residents.

15. It was the Committee's opinion that granting the Application would undermine the promotion of the licensing objectives, namely the prevention of crime and disorder, the prevention of public nuisance, the protection of children from harm, and public safety.

16. Taking all of the above into account, it was the Committee's considered opinion to reject the Application in its entirety, for the reasons set out in this letter.

Thank you for including this email as supplemental evidence to support the original Representation of Barclay Road Residents against the Amigos application.



Barclay Road Conservation Area Neighbourhood Watch
12 October 2025