## PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

## Addendum 30.07.2025

REG REF.	ADDRESS	WARD	PAGE	
2025/01351/FUL	Former Laundry Site r/o Nos. 9-61 Pennard Road Lo	Shepherd's Bush Green ndon W12	11	
Page 13	and all internal lighting shall be fit	on No.3 with the following: manually by the last member of staff lead tted with a failsafe/cut off to ensure all a cours and 08.00 hours the following day	access lights	
		not cause excessive light pollution and ed, in accordance with Policy CC12 of t		
Page 17 – 19	LIST OF NEIGHBOUR COMMENTS: ADD the following addresses:			
	19 - 25 Rotherhithe New Road Lo 7 Park Road North Acton 127 Elgin Avenue W9 38A Archel Road Rachel Road W14 24 Coslany Street Norwich NR3 14 Sir Alexander Close London W 25 Pennard Mansions, Goldhawk Scotts Road London W12 306 Barandon Walk London W11 470 Bromyard House Bromyard W 4 Boxmoor House Queensdale C 42 Landor Walk London W12 2 Richford Street W6 55 Redcliffe Gardens London SW 82 Frithville Gardens London W1 98 Stebbing House W11 99 Heathlee Road London SE3 25C Askew Road London W12 22 Abbot Close Northolt UB5 53 Idmiston Road (Basement) W1 22 Arch 161 Shop 2 Shepherds	V3 K Road London W12  Avenue London W3 Frescent London W11  V10 2  Bush Market W12	06.07.25 08.07.25 08.07.25 08.07.25 08.07.25 08.07.25 08.07.25 06.07.25	
Dama 24	the committee).	ed from the Chair of the SBMTA (report	•	
Page 24 Page 25	Para. 3.4 / 7 line: <u>DELETE</u> 70  Para. 4.2: <u>DELETE</u> and <u>REPLAC</u>	containers" and ADD "71 contained by c	#IS	
, ago 20	"The Council has received <b>139 o</b> l Chair of the Shepherd's Bush Ma	bjections including representations from trket Traders Association (SBMTA) and sidents in Pennard Road/Lime Grove at	"Friends of	

of support".

Page 28	Para. 6.2 <u>DELETE (and replace with):</u> The application site forms part of the wider White City Regeneration Area and more specifically, Strategic Site Policy WCRA 3 (Shepherd's Bush Market and Adjacent land) which maintains continued support for the existing traders and retention and improvement of the market. Seeks to facilitate a mixed-use scheme including the provision of affordable housing, affordable workspace and other uses."			
REG REF.	ADDRESS	WARD	PAGE	
2023/03129/FUL	Ravenscourt Park Hospital Ravenscourt Park, London W6	Ravenscourt	35	
Page 40	Within condition 2, replace: 2210-SPP-RCB-ZZ-DR-A-26-2003 REV: Pt 2210-SPP-RCB-ZZ-DR-A-26-2005 REV: Pt	•		
	to read: 2210-SPP-RCB-ZZ-DR-A-26-2003 REV: PC 2210-SPP-RCB-ZZ-DR-A-26-2005 REV: PC			
Page 72	2 Insert additional Condition 84 to read:  83. Demolition Waste Diversion Strategy Prior to the commencement of any demolition works, the applicant shall submit to and have approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority a Demolition Waste Diversion Strategy. The strategy shall detail measures to:			
	Maximise the reuse and recycling of demoli Minimise the quantity of waste sent to land Comply with the waste hierarchy principles Include a pre-demolition audit identifying m	fill; ; and		
	The development shall be carried out in accevidence of compliance shall be submitted			
	Reason: To ensure sustainable waste man- London Plan Policy SI7.	agement practices in accordance	with	
Page 76	Neighbour representation list 47 Ravenscourt Gardens London W6 – re - Insert '29 Ravenscourt Gardens – 06.09.2 15.04.25'	•	_	
Page 77	Para 1.1, amend text to read: '(PTAL 3/4)'			
Page 80	Para 4.5, replace: '1,313m2' with '1,171m2	,		
Page 90	Insert additional paragraph, 5.36 to read: 'Eight additional representations have been officer's report to planning committee. The			

- Concerns regarding the timing of the committee date and publication of the agenda one week prior, residents are concerned that this is insufficient notice Residents were not notified of the committee date by officers

- Neighbours' representations have not been listed in the committee report [Officer note – all representations have been listed in the full planning application report. Residents' concerns related to the LBC report which had only the representations that were specifically registered against the LBC, and not the full application,
- Report does not sufficiently consider impacts on neighbouring amenity, including daylight/sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy
- Developer has misrepresented the extent of their engagement with residents

The representations did not raise any new material planning considerations that had not already been noted and addressed within the report to committee.

A request was also made for a copy of the applicant's FVA and the final review undertaken by the Council's independent viability consultant (BPS). The FVA has been publicly accessible through the Council's planning website since the date on which the application was validated, and a copy of the BPS review was provided as requested.

The aforementioned representations were received from the following addresses:

- 15 Ravenscourt Square
- 3a Ravenscourt Square
- 21 Ravenscourt Park
- 20 Ravenscourt Park
- 47 Ravenscourt Gardens
- 3 Ravenscourt Square
- 29 Ravenscourt Gardens
- 9 Ravenscourt Square

Insert additional paragraph 5.37 to read:

'Four interested residents have sent written statements to PADCC Members as they are unable to attend committee in person. Statements have been received from the below and are summarised in the table:

Address	Summary of Statement	
20 Ravenscourt Park	<ul> <li>Notes that the daylight/sunlight assessment was updated but that it still does not take account of a terrace and annex/garden room [note – the terrace has been assessed in the updated report and complies with BRE overshadowing criteria. The annex is not a habitable room for the purposes of a BRE d/s assessment]</li> </ul>	
	- Concerns regarding recorded WPSH loss [note – whilst there would be a reduction in winter sunlight to this home, the retained sunlight (APSH and WPSH) far exceeds the BRE target of 25% and 4% respectively. All rooms would therefore continue to receive generous levels of sunlight]	
	- Loss of privacy from new windows in Blocks B and D, particularly in relation to the annex to the rear of the garden, does not comply with key principle HS7 [note – the annex has two rooflights providing skyward views and all other glazing faces directly into the garden of the host property. An assessment under HS7 is not relevant as no window to	

Page 90

	window overlooking could be achieved. The additions to blocks B and D are otherwise set back over 35m from the rear elevation of this property]
	- Additional height of Block D would be intrusive when viewed from the rear of the house and terrace [note – whilst the extensions to Block D would be visible from the property; officers do not consider that this would constitute an overbearing or unduly intrusive development. There is sufficient set back from the existing property and garden and the extensions have utilised setbacks to minimise visual impacts]
47 Ravenscourt Gardens	- Objection dated 02.09.24 is missing from the committee report [note – this was recorded in the committee report as 09.02.24 in error and has been corrected by way of addendum]
	- Negative impact of balconies has not been fully considered or properly addressed [note – see assessment within the committee report]
	- Acoustic impact has not been investigated/addressed [note – this relates to the impact of reverberating external noise created by the shape of the historic buildings. This is not a matter that could be controlled by a planning mechanism, but conditions have been secured to prevent disturbance by way of mechanical and amplified noise]
	-Highway safety – vehicle numbers have been underestimated [note – see assessment within the committee report]
29 Ravenscourt Gardens	- Raised concerns regarding two of three objections made not having been listed in the committee report [note – this has been corrected in the addendum; however, it is important to note that the objections and the contents within, are summarised correctly within the body of the report]
	- Concerns regarding the Boiler House site and cumulative impacts
	- Development should be contained within the existing building and number of units reduced which would in turn reduce other impacts such as those on the highway, pedestrians, environment etc.
23 Ravenscourt Park	- Requests the committee take a holistic approach by taking account of the Boiler House site when considering the development, including in relation to financial returns, and potential for building on the BH site to allow for either the removal of Block E or to allow for its size to be reduced [note – the Boiler House site does not form part of the application before committee]

A presentation was submitted to committee Members by a registered speaker, resident of 15 Ravenscourt Square. The presentation is summarised as:

Page 103 Page 123	overlooking and in the interest of the protection Ravenscourt Square  Para 11.13, delete 'and C'.  Para 12.20, insert:		
	'The application was submitted and validated prior to the introduction of mandatory BNG and therefore there was no statutory requirement to undertake a BNG assessment.'		
Page 126	Para 13.19, delete 'A single storey roof extension including roof terraces is proposed to Block A, which would be used for community purposes' and 'which would be replicated (albeit at the different storey height) in the proposed development,'		
Page 128	Para 13.29 delete 'such as air source heat pumps'		
Page 131	Para 14.15 delete 'The applicant has not provided updated residential trip generation figures since the removal of the basement car park and the creation of a car-free development. As such it is expected that these vehicle trips would be significantly reduced'		
	And insert: 'The transport addendum estimates that the creation of a car free development would re vehicular trips daily when compared to the s represents a substantial reduction in vehicle	sult in a reduction of 109 two-way cheme as originally submitted. Th	
Page 146	Para 23.4 replace 'exclusive' with 'inclusive'		
Page 149	Para 24.5, under Carbon Offsetting, insert: 'This is the estimated carbon offset paymen Strategy secured by Condition 51'	t and will be subject to a revised E	nergy
REG REF.	ADDRESS	WARD	PAGE
2023/03130/LBC	Ravenscourt Park Hospital Ravenscourt Park, London W6	Ravenscourt	150
Page 156	Within condition 2, replace:	13 - RCB - Proposed Section 03	
J	2210-SPP-RCB-ZZ-DR-A-26-2003 REV: PC 2210-SPP-RCB-ZZ-DR-A-26-2005 REV: PC		

**WARD** 

Ravenscourt

**PAGE** 

173

**REG REF.** 

2024/02570/FUL

**ADDRESS** 

WCL - 54 Wood Lane

The principle of the redevelopment of the Hospital is not opposed.
The objections arise from the proposed density and scale of the Applicant's plans

Page 249 Para 26.4 insert:

'Future occupiers of the residential units within Phase 5 (as with Phases 1-4 of the Masterplan) will not be eligible for car parking permits and this will be secured within

the legal agreement.

Page 250 Para 26.5, insert:

'The total carbon offsetting cost has been calculated as £849,360.'

Page 250 Delete para 26.6. and para 26.7