

*NOTE: Questions are presented in the order they were received.*

### **Question 1 – CycleWay 9**

From: John Bridges, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“Answers to a Freedom of Information Request appear to show:-

1. No consultation with the Emergency Services.
2. Reliance on data from TfL, which is out of date and predates Olympia expansion and Hammersmith Bridge closure.
3. The Council is withholding its own research conducted by the Campaign Company from the Commission.
4. The Council is refusing to gather/analyse any data on the current temporary cycle lanes.

Clearly mistakes have been made. Can the Leader assure me that steps will be taken to put things right immediately before any physical work is started on the project?”

### **Question 2 – South Fulham Traffic, Congestion and Pollution Reduction Scheme (TCPR) (Health Survey)**

From: Eva Burgess, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“The TCPR has caused increased air pollution on the Wandsworth Bridge Road since its introduction 5 months ago. This has been most acutely experienced by residents living or working on the Wandsworth Bridge Road. Can the council kindly agree to urgently conduct a formal survey of residents on the WBR to ascertain whether they believe they have experienced any negative health outcomes (including negative impact on mental health) directly as a result of the TCPR commencing 20th July 2020?”

### **Question 3 – Wheelie Bin Scheme**

From: Rosemary Mortimer, Resident

To: Cllr Wesley Harcourt, Cabinet Member for the Environment

“Wheelie bins may be regarded as intrusive and unnecessary in small front gardens. Whilst applauding Council policy to ‘do things with residents’ and a wish to improve borough recycling will the Administration commit to full consultation with residents before expanding its wheelie bin scheme?”

#### **Question 4 – South Fulham TCPR (Independent Review)**

From: Anand Sinha, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“It has increasingly become clear to me through attendance at the WBRA meetings with the council that the arguments and data put forward by LBHF are not balanced and designed to further their agenda.

Can the council commit to an independent review of its modelling work, in particular to review the conclusions around impacts of opening Imperial Road?”

#### **Question 5 – South Fulham TCPR (Duty of Care)**

From: Pranita Sinha, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“The objectives of the council with the TCPR are to reduce traffic in the area. Given a number of factors including lockdowns, bridge closures, it has been difficult to assess whether there has been a genuine traffic reduction, however, what is clear is that over the Autumn when lockdown had been eased then the traffic on WBR was worse than I had ever seen it in the 14 years that I have lived here.

Is the council is prepared to damage the health and wellbeing of those on WBR for some potentially marginal benefit to those in the East and West and if so, how has the council discharged its duty of care to those on the WBR given there was no real consultation or pollution monitoring in place prior to scheme introduction and no clear plans exist to deal with serious issues caused by TCPR?”

#### **Question 6 – South Fulham TCPR (Data Quality)**

From: Gaynor Churchward, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“The ongoing Covid-19 epidemic has substantially changed travel behaviour (both public and private) and will continue to do so until we are over the epidemic. The travel patterns being assessed now are in no way typical and are further confounded by numerous bridge closures across London.

Should we be relying on transport data taken in 2020 during a global epidemic to inform long term structural changes to the borough relating to LTN's, strategic road networks and public transport networks?”

**Question 7 – South Fulham TCPR (Equality Impact Assessment 1)**

From: Caroline Brooman-White, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“Did the Council complete an Equality Impact Assessment for the Traffic Reduction Scheme prior to implementation and if so, did this include the indirect discrimination against residents of Wandsworth Bridge Road with disabilities such as chronic or progressive health conditions that put them at greater risk of the harmful effects of air pollution e.g. Cardiovascular, Respiratory and Neurological conditions?”

**Question 8 – South Fulham TCPR (Cycling/Walking/Bus Infrastructure)**

From: Natalie Lindsay, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“The Council’s traffic scheme does not encourage any form of transport modal shift in the residents of the Borough. On the contrary it is creating a de-facto private rat-run for H&F residents to use and abuse, making short car trips easier - provided the residents cut across the traffic-congested and polluted Wandsworth Bridge Road.

Why has there not been important infrastructure changes put in place to favour cycling/walking/bus use in parallel with the scheme and does the council recognise that at present they are acting for the exclusive benefit of local car users to the detriment of everyone else and in complete violation of their own green objectives and the Climate crisis?”

**Question 9 – South Fulham TCPR (Impact on Wandsworth Bridge Road)**

From: Gary Fannin, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“The council has insisted repeatedly that current traffic congestion and pollution on Wandsworth Bridge Road is not related to the current eastern LTN/TCPR scheme and insist that it is purely due to works on Wandsworth Bridge. However, since both lanes on the bridge reopened in November we have not seen the reduction in congestion that had been suggested. In fact we have seen an increase in queueing northbound traffic.

Will the council admit that the TCPR scheme is designed to protect residents East and West of Wandsworth Bridge Road to the detriment of those living on Wandsworth

Bridge Road itself and that the WBR residents are a necessary 'causality' in order for this scheme to be a success?"

**Question 10 – South Fulham TCPR (Pedestrians)**

From: Tom Ward, Business Owner

To: The Leader of the Council

“The Wandsworth Bridge Road is the busiest pedestrian route in South Fulham. We are concerned that all the emphasis of the TCPR Scheme debates focus on traffic movements, rather than pedestrian movements. NO2 levels are highest at pavement level and most affect the old and young. What surveys have been undertaken by the council to capture the quantum of pedestrians and cyclists using the road?”

**Question 11 – South Fulham TCPR (Access to Public Transport)**

From: Susan Waterhouse, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“Have LBHF consulted with Metroline and Tower Transit who run the two bus routes in WBRd?”

**Question 12 – South Fulham TCPR (Gathering Feedback)**

From: Gauri Nafrey, Business Owner (124 Wandsworth Bridge Road, SW6 2UL)

To: The Leader of the Council

“The council have presented data to show the support of the current scheme and are using it to support a similar scheme to the west of WBR. Given the level of feeling the scheme has induced and looking at the council's own survey via the Commonplace website, it is clear there is little support or desire for this scheme. Can we ask if the council is using a different means to gather feedback for this scheme and if so, why is this not being shared with the public?”

**Question 13 – South Fulham TCRP (Air Quality)**

From: Laura Sharrock, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“The level of air pollution on Wandsworth Bridge Road is dangerous. Can the Council provide PM2.5 face masks to residents and visitors and agree to conduct indoor air quality assessments of the residential properties and businesses on this road to determine the need for air purifiers, and provide these for all impacted rooms on WBR where pollution exceeds WHO limits?”

#### **Question 14 – South Fulham TCRP (Pollution)**

From: Isabel Blunden, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“I do not believe that LBHF Councillors nor officers fully appreciate the scale of the pollution situation on the Wandsworth Bridge Road. Can LBHF agree to undertake a socially distanced walk with residents at 4pm one day (when schools return) to experience the air quality that we are being subjected to on a daily basis?”

#### **Question 15 – South Fulham TCRP (Equality Impact Assessment 2)**

From: Kerry Collins, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“Did the council complete an Equality Impact Assessment for the Traffic Reduction Scheme prior to implementation and if so, did this include the indirect discrimination of resident’s safety and gender discrimination?”

Mini-cabs refusing to enter the side streets and requesting passengers to alight from the cab on the main road and walk the rest of their journey home is a safety concern to residents inside the exclusion zone compared to main road residents and one that disproportionately impacts female residents.”

#### **Question 16 – Recycling Scheme**

From: Illtyd L Lewis, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“Please could you advise details with dates and numbers as to how residents (and refuse collectors) were consulted regarding the new recycling and rubbish area experiment; I understand that the cost of the experiment has been £60 per address?”

#### **Question 17 – Recycling Scheme**

From: Dr Tim Rees, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“What assessment did the council make prior to implementing the new rubbish collection pilot in my area, that the bins it had selected were appropriate for the areas selected?”

### **Question 18 – South Fulham TCRP (Measuring Success)**

From: Katerina Tanti, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“How are the council going to define if the East LTN is a success and what are the exact parameters it is using to measure this success?”

### **Question 19 - South Fulham TCRP (Compensation)**

From: Susana M Valle, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“The existing and upcoming traffic measures around Wandsworth Bridge Road are intentionally directing all traffic passing through Sands End towards Wandsworth Bridge Road exclusively, increasing pollution, unsafety and noise for residents/users on this particular road, so others can benefit.

As this is against any principle of fairness and equality, my question is which compensation provisions will the council be granting to WBR residents for both the discrimination and for our health and property damages?”

### **Question 20 – South Fulham TCRP (Air Quality)**

From: Beatrice Goater, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“Wandsworth Bridge Road is the most polluted road in Fulham, breaching air quality limits since records began and made even worse by the Traffic Reduction Scheme to the East of Wandsworth Bridge Road (and soon to be further worsened by a similar scheme to the West, if it goes ahead).

Are the council going to either move half of the traffic to an alternative route (e.g Southbound traffic moved to Imperial/Townmead Road) to reduce pollution on this road or do the council plan to acquire all of the properties on the Wandsworth Bridge Road

via Compulsory Purchase Order in order to legitimately turn this road into the central polluted highway of South Fulham?”

**Question 21 – South Fulham TCRP (Engaging with Sustrans)**

From: Jonathan Goater, Resident and Business Owner

To: The Leader of the Council

“Can LBHF engage with Sustrans as an independent body to provide advice for the South Fulham Traffic Scheme and in particular the designation of appropriate through-routes in South Fulham.

Can the council please invite Sustrans into the working group meetings to discuss the Imperial/Townmead Road route and help us to develop a scheme that genuinely benefits all residents, including the residents of WBR?”

**Question 22 – South Fulham TCRP (Public Approval)**

From: George Blunden, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“Councillor Ben Coleman has been meeting with 'working parties' on the East and West of Wandsworth Bridge Road including a few residents and businesses on WBR itself. However these working parties are not fully representative of the entire area to sufficiently gather full public opinion.

Will the council agree that before any further changes or indeed approval of the East LTN/TCPR that ALL residents within the South Fulham area (from New Kings Road to the north to Imperial Wharf on the east and Hurlingham Estate to the west and as far as the river south) will be given a final, binding, public vote on the approval of any said scheme, and will the council acknowledge that without this full democratic process they cannot claim to be working local residents as stated on their website?”

**Question 23 – Home Deliveries**

From: Andrew Male, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“Are Councillors and Council Officers aware that according to DfT data, the significant increase in minor road vehicle miles seen in recent years correlates to a 49% increase in vans and 26% increase in cars and taxis over the past 10 years which indicates that

the majority of increased traffic may be related to vehicles making deliveries to homes on the side streets rather than 'rat-running' traffic?

What is the council doing to tackle the proliferation of home deliveries and is it feasible to block couriers and mandate deliveries by cargo bike only within traffic schemes to reduce road vehicle miles locally?"

#### **Question 24 – Recycling Scheme**

From: Francine Lyle, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

"Chiddingstone street is in the Conservation Area, which requires all developments and alterations to properties to contribute positively to the 'visual quality' in the 'view of the street'. How is the new recycling scheme in compliance with Conservation Guidelines with large, unhoused bins taking away from the 'scene view' of the street?"

#### **Question 25 – Hammersmith Bridge (New Bridge)**

From: Antonia Muir, Resident

To: Councillor Wesley Harcourt, Cabinet Member for the Environment

"The Council's own experts say a new Hammersmith Bridge is cheaper, faster and better value to the taxpayer than trying to repair the old bridge. Is the Council leadership pressing for a new bridge with the taskforce? If yes, what progress? If no, why not?"

#### **Question 26 – Hammersmith Bridge (Comprehensive Plan)**

From: Charlie Pryor, Resident

To: Councillor Wesley Harcourt, Cabinet Member for the Environment

"What will it take for the Council to reach an agreement with the Hammersmith Bridge Task Force, to deliver a comprehensive financed plan to fix the bridge?"

#### **Question 27 – Hammersmith Bridge (New Bridge on Current Footings)**

From: Luke Robinson, Resident

To: Councillor Wesley Harcourt, Cabinet Member for the Environment

"Why can the bridge not be removed and a new bridge be installed rapidly on the current footings?"

**Question 28 – Hammersmith Bridge (Viable Solution)**

From: Christopher Jones, Resident

To: Councillor Wesley Harcourt, Cabinet Member for the Environment

“I would like to ask the Council if they have agreed on a viable solution going forward, found funding from council and government funds, and if so when work will start on fixing this bridge and if not, why not?”

**Question 29 – Hammersmith Bridge (New Bridge)**

From: John Griffiths, Resident

To: Councillor Wesley Harcourt, Cabinet Member for the Environment

“Has the Council considered a completely new bridge of outstanding design, fit for the purpose of carrying pedestrians, cyclists and road traffic including double decker buses, and able to accommodate river traffic at all tides. It could be the new forward-looking emblem for the Borough.”

**Question 30 – Hammersmith Bridge (Financial Planning)**

From: Justin Sumner, Resident

To: Councillor Wesley Harcourt, Cabinet Member for the Environment

“As owners of the Bridge the Council may mortgage or raise Finance against the ASSET. Therefore, Will the Council confirm their financial planning and Bridge repair time frame, with specific target dates, please.”

**Question 31 – Hammersmith Bridge (Moving the Bridge)**

From: David Chamberlain, Resident

To: Councillor Wesley Harcourt, Cabinet Member for the Environment

“Is it not time to bite the bullet and remove Hammersmith Bridge to another location? It is clearly not fit for purpose and any repairs currently contemplated will have to be repeated time and time again.”

**Question 32 – New Bins**

From: Snjezana Maclean, Resident

To: The Leader of the Council

“I live in W6 and have received the new bins unexpectedly. The bins are far too big and completely block my small front garden. I have two bikes that are in constant use so would like to know how do you think this will work with the request that the bins should be wheeled in and out every week?”

### **Question 33 – Demolition of Hartopp and Lannoy Points**

From: Mr and Mrs James O’Brien, Residents

To: The Leader of the Council

“Given that we leaseholders in Chasemore House and Donnelly Courts are blighted by not being able to sell our properties, as we are unable to obtain valuations, what compensation is the council proposing to offer us as we are suffering from this council’s actions?”

### **Question 34 – Demolition of Hartopp and Lannoy Points**

From: Jack Holmes, Resident

To: Councillor Lisa Homan, Cabinet Member for Housing

“When is the council going to tell us what is going to be built on the land? I am concerned that homes will be built in front of Chasemore House and will block our light.”