

Schools Forum

Agenda

Monday 15 June 2015

2.00 pm

Queensmill School

MEMBERSHIP

Primary Schools: Heads: Claire Fletcher (St Paul's CE), Wayne Leeming (Melcombe), Vacancy. **Governors:** Daisy Donovan (Avonmore), Sharon Robinson (John Betts) **Secondary Schools: Head:** Alan Streeter (Phoenix). **Academies and Free Schools:** David McFadden (London Oratory- Chair of Schools Forum), Gary Kynaston (Hammersmith Academy), Vacancy, Sally White (Lady Margaret), Alissa Douglas (Lena Gardens) **Nurseries: Head:** Michael Pettavel (RBEYC). **Special Schools: Head:** Jude Ragan (Queensmill). **Alternative Provision Academies:** Nathan Crawley-Lyons (TBAP). **14-19 Representative:** Vacancy **Early Years PVI:** Vacancy

Non-voting members

School Business Managers Tim Scott (Fulham College Academy Trust) and Caroline Collins (Miles Coverdale) **Trade Union Representative:** Dennis Charman(NUT)

CONTACT OFFICER: Owen Rees
Clerking Service Manager
Tri-Borough Education Services
☎: 07785721279
E-mail: owen.rees@lbhf.gov.uk

Reports on the open agenda are available on the [Council's website:](http://www.lbhf.gov.uk/Directory/Council_and_Democracy)
http://www.lbhf.gov.uk/Directory/Council_and_Democracy

Members of the public are welcome to attend. A loop system for hearing impairment is provided, along with disabled access to the building.

Date Issued: 12 June 2015

Schools Forum Agenda

15 June 2015

<u>Item</u>	<u>Pages</u>
1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE	
2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING To agree the minutes of the previous meeting as a correct record.	1 - 7
3. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING	
4. DEDICATED SCHOOLS GRANT 2014-15 OUTURN This report sets out the Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) for the financial year 2014/15 (1st April 2014 to 31st March 2015). The balances reported are taken from the Consistent Financial Reporting (CFR) data provided by schools to the Education Funding Agency (EFA).	8 - 22
5. SCHEME FOR FINANCING SCHOOLS This item presents the Scheme for Financing Schools.	
6. HIGH NEEDS - FUNDING ARRANGEMENTS This report; * Summarises LBHF short term contingency funding agreements following implementation at the start of the academic year 2014/15 – for comment. * Provides an update on the implementation of an enhanced SEN Early Years local offer through implementation of block HNB contingency funding – for comment * Provides an update on resources allocated through Local Authority statement of SEN or EHC resource allocation agreements September 2014/April 2015	23 - 28
7. DIRECTOR'S REPORT INCLUDING MANAGED SERVICES UPDATE Verbal Item	

Agenda Item 2

London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham



Schools Forum Minutes

Monday 16 March 2015

Representing	Name	Organisation	Attendance
Primary Schools	5 Members		
Primary Head	Claire Fletcher (CF)	St Paul's CE Primary School	Present
Primary Head	Wayne Leeming (WL)	Melcombe Primary School	Present
Primary Head	Vacant		
Primary Governor	Daisy Donovan (DD)	Avonmore Primary School	Present
Primary Governor	Sharon Robinson (SR)	John Betts Primary School	Present
Secondary schools	1 Member		
Secondary Head	Alan Streeter (AS)	Phoenix High School	Present
Academies	5 members		
Secondary Non Recoupment Academy Principal	Gary Kynaston (GK)	Hammersmith Academy	Present
Secondary Recoupment Academy Head	David McFadden (DMcf)	The London Oratory School	Present
Secondary Recoupment Academy	Sally White (SW)	Lady Margaret School	Apologies
Secondary Recoupment Academy	Bernie Pelope (BP)	Fulham College Trust	Apologies
Secondary Recoupment Academy (Observer)	Peter Haylock (PH)	Fulham College Trust	Present
Primary Academy	Elissa Douglas	Lena Gardens Primary School	Apologies
Maintained Nursery Schools	1 member		
Nursery Head	Michael Pettavel (MP)	Randolph Beresford Early Years Centre School	Present
Special Schools	1 member		
Special Schools Head	Jude Ragan (JR)	Queensmill	Apologies
Alternative Provision	1 member		
Alternative Provision Rep	Nathan Crawley-Lyons (NCL)	TBAP	Present
Early Years (PVI)	1 member		
	Vacancy		
14-19 Representative	1 member		
	Vacant		
School Business Manager	2 observers		
Primary (Maintained)	Caroline Collins (CC)	Miles Coverdale Primary School	Present
Secondary (Academy)	Tim Scott (TS)	Fulham College Trust	Present
Trade Union	1 observer		
	Dennis Charman (DC)	NUT	Present
Officers in Attendance			
Tri Borough Director of Finance & Resources	Dave McNamara (DM)	Tri Borough Children's Services	Apologies
Tri Borough Head of Resources	Andrew Tagg (AT)	Tri Borough Children's Services	Present
Tri Borough Director of	Ian Heggs (IH)	Tri Borough Children's Services	Apologies

Minutes are subject to confirmation at the next meeting as a correct record of the proceedings and any amendments arising will be recorded in the minutes of that subsequent meeting.

Schools Commissioning			
Tri Borough Assistant Director Special Educational Needs & Vulnerable Children	Alison Farmer (AF)	Tri Borough Children's Services	Present
3BM Managing Director	Andy Rennison (AR)	3BM	Present
3BM Schools Finance Director	Remi Oladupo (RO)	3BM	Present
Clerking Service Manager and Clerk to Schools Forum	Owen Rees (OR)	Tri Borough Children's Services	Present

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

There were apologies from Elissa Douglas, Ian Heggs, Dave McNamara and Sally Whyte.

2. MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE SCHOOLS FORUM ON 19TH JANUARY 2015

That the minutes of the meeting of the Schools Forum on 19th January 2015 be agreed as a true and correct record.

3. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

The clerk agreed to circulate the details of savings as requested.

4. DRAFT SCHOOLS ORGANISATION STRATEGY

AW presented the report, which he emphasised was a draft document. He said that the logic of the report was to set out projected demand, and then to set out what the local authority's plans were for delivering provision to match that projection. He said that the key chart was on page 14-15. He said that the key conclusion was that the borough had enough Primary Places for ten years, but a more immediate need for Secondary from 2019. He said that also key was page 40, which showed the regeneration proposals which are out to consultation. He said that the level of development means a rise in the number of school places. He said he had worked with 3BM, and that the local authority can deliver initial growth through expansion, but then new schools will be required.

SR asked how accurate population predictions were. AW said that they were updated yearly. The local authority purchases statistical data from the GLA to ensure that a high quality data set was used. However, the LA had also added an analysis of admissions in this year, including the impact of the independent sector on demand for places. AR said that the local authority did more detailed work on population historically; the predictions were generally accurate, but unplanned changes such as the recent benefit reforms had unanticipated impacts.

TS asked if there is a possibility of assessing by gender. AR and AW said that the demand was gender neutral.

The Chair asked who initiated this work. AW said that it was no longer statutory for a local authority to undertake it but , but was good practice.

The Chair suggested that demand was geographically specific. AW confirmed this, stating that detailed plans would be brought forward on the basis of local demand.

AS asked whether the type of accommodation was assessed when setting demand. AW said that an analysis was done once a breakdown within a regeneration scheme was known. AS said that he was concerned about the proposal for a free school in 2016 in Shepherds Bush, given current roll levels. AW said that it would be a new school from 2017, but the lead in time to 2019 required planning to begin now.

JR noted the reference to a high need nursery autism provision. She said that this should be delivered at Queensmill, though she noted there was a need for more middle need provision in the borough. AF agreed with this.

DC asked whether the Fulham Boys School was going to be in the borough and would it make a difference in terms of schools organisation. AR said that additional funding will come from DfE, there would be the potential for an increase in forecast demand if they relocated out of borough.

GK noted the reference to PAN at Hammersmith Academy, which should be the same as WLFS. He also noted that Fulham Boys was at 180, while he understood the PAN to be 120. He noted that there was a potential impact from EFA agreed expansion in other boroughs affecting intake in schools in Hammersmith and Fulham. He said that there needed more coordination in that area.

AW said that this was a good point, and that the borough was trying to do more coordinated work with other boroughs. He said that all documents produced by the local authority and other local authorities were shared with the EFA to inform it's decisions. AR said that Brent and other outer London Boroughs had serious issues with the growth required to meet the demand needed.

GK noted that more benefits capping could make a difference to the numbers in school in the borough, and on its immediate neighbours. AR noted that 45% of the displacement was in the South, with a direct link to the proportion of families in private rented accommodation.

MP said that, at his school in the north of the borough, he was starting to see much greater mobility. He asked how much this mobility would take into account. AW said that the borough was still short of hard data to identify a precise effect. He confirmed, however, that the impact is greater in the private rented sector.

RESOLVED

That the report be noted.

5. DSG SCHOOL BUDGET UPDATE

AR introduced the report. He said that the content had largely been discussed at the previous meeting. He reported that the issue about the cost of academies and free school expansion had been notified to the EFA, and the EFA had advised the Council to resubmit their return with a view to funding the growth.

AR confirmed that there had been no further funding in relation to SEN from the EFA. He said that work was ongoing on releasing more funding, but the EFA will require more consultation before reaching a decision. AF said that there would need to be consultation after the general election to support the change. AF and AR noted that some Councils were seeking a redistribution away from London, as would be expected, but there was no urgent change.

AR said that the High Needs Block was £1million short of meeting its commitments, and this would be funded from the balances. He said that further work would be done on strategies to contain expenditure growth in this area.

DC noted that on page 63 the budget for nursery schools and PVI were roughly equal, though nursery schools were funded higher, with higher expectations. He asked for the number of children in each. AR agreed to circulate the details with the minutes.

GK asked for confirmation, in Appendix 3, that the differences in the High Needs Block reflect the children in schools. AR said that the variations reflected the individuals in school rather than any change in formula.

AR noted the Pupil Premium figures. MP noted that the EY Pupil Premium was substantially lower than the school age figure

AR outlined the schools who had reduced budgets. He said that the big changes reflected changes in roll, or removal of an element in provision (i.e. nursery closed)

WL asked what would happen to these schools. AR said that a small fund was available for schools with turbulent rolls, and the local authority was working with Sullivan, for example, in relation to this. He said that there had been static rolls in the borough for some time, and there needed to be some additional flexibility to deal with an increase in volatility. A proposal would come back on this to the Forum.

RESOLVED

That the 2015-16 budget be agreed.

6. FUNDING FOR TWO-YEAR OLD GROWTH

AT outlined the contents of the report. He said that it contained 3 proposals to identify additional children. He had feedback from the Westminster Forum that the additional investment in technology with a view to attracting increased take up were considered unsuitable given the target group.

MP noted that the Childcare Taskforce would be releasing findings next month, so the Forum may wish to take those into account.

RESOLVED

To apply £175k of DSG brought forward in 2015/16 to improving engagement of disadvantaged families with children who qualify for the two year old programme.

7. USE OF DEBIT CARDS IN SCHOOLS

CC had submitted the time on behalf of School Business Managers. She said that they were finding it increasingly difficult to get good value for money on purchases without using online shopping. She therefore requested the Forum's support in seeking an amendment to the school financial regulations to allow the use of debit cards in school.

AR said that the school financial regulations prevent this, and that a clear system needed to be put forward if this was to be amended. AT said that he had consulted with the Director, and that will agree to bring a scheme back to the next meeting.

GK and TS confirmed that academies made use of debit cards for purchasing; Fulham Cross was willing to share their procedure with the Forum.

8. AMENDMENT TO SCHOOL MEAL INVOICING PROCESS

The Forum noted the item for information.

9. HIGH NEEDS BUDGET UPDATE

AF said that the local authority had adopted an approach to funding on contingency. The report asked for further approval of these measures. It also outlined proposals to differentiate for Early Years providers. 3 provisions in each borough, Up to 3 opportunities for block contingency. 3 providers of enhanced offer based on RBKC.

AR noted the local authority had tried to put funding in the nursery phase historically, and this needed to be considered when agreeing funding for that phase. AT said that there was a need to do work in this area, as creating new money is difficult given the pressures on the budget. It was agreed to bring

forward established criteria to the next Forum. AR would contribute to the assessment.

MP said that the proposal was a good idea, but there are questions in a wider context, which was under 3's, historic levels of SEN within settings and children on roll. AF acknowledged that work needed to be done to find a suitable formula. MP suggested that it was essential for money to follow children, and that it was good to do EHCP's early. As there was a risk that 2 year old funding could be subject to clawback if not spent, this could usefully be used to fund early intervention, supplementing the contingency agreed. AF and AT agreed to consider this and report back.

RESOLVED

- (i) To agree the approach to Short Term Contingency funding for Early Years settings, which builds on and develops the existing arrangements for contingency funding, and;
- (ii) To agree continuation of current arrangements for High Needs Top-Up Funding arrangements for children with a Statement of SEN/EHCP in the Early Years Sector over the financial year 2015/16, and;
- (iii) To agree an approach for Block Request (3 applications per academic year up to a maximum of 40k per request) for Early Years Sector over the financial year 2015/2016

10. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

GK noted that there were widespread issues of staff retention in the borough. The Forum welcomed the announcement of key worker housing in the borough. It requested an item on teacher retention at its next meeting. GK suggested that parking permits could be looked at in this regard. AT agreed to report back on this issue, and on wider measures being taken by the local authority.

11. DATE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETING

2pm on Monday 15th June 2015 at Queensmill School.

Meeting started: 2pm
Meeting ended: 3.20pm

Chairman

Contact officer: Owen Rees
Committee Co-ordinator
Governance and Scrutiny
:

Minutes are subject to confirmation at the next meeting as a correct record of the proceedings and any amendments arising will be recorded in the minutes of that subsequent meeting.

E-mail:

Minutes are subject to confirmation at the next meeting as a correct record of the proceedings and any amendments arising will be recorded in the minutes of that subsequent meeting.

Agenda Item 4

Introduction

This report sets out the Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) for the financial year 2014/15 (1st April 2014 to 31st March 2015). The balances reported are taken from the Consistent Financial Reporting (CFR) data provided by schools to the Education Funding Agency (EFA).

DSG Outturn

Table 1 shows details of the DSG income and expenditure for the period ending 31st March 2015.

Gross DSG income received by the borough was £119m. £28m of which was recouped by the EFA for them to passport through to Hammersmith and Fulham recoupment academies (which are mainly recent ex-maintained schools that converted)

Following the recoupment, the Authority, had £90.3m, plus the £6.185m 2013/14 carry forward balance of DSG, making a total of £96.577m, available to spend on schools and centrally retained services.

£75.936m was distributed to schools, while £20.641m was retained centrally, to be used on the services identified in Table 2. Note the £6.185m carry forward is contained within that group and can only be spent on pupils or pupil related services to support schools and other educational support services.

At the end of the financial year, a balance of £5.281m of this remains unspent and will be carried forward into 2015/16.

Table 1: Dedicated Schools Grant 2014/15			
	Central Expenditure	ISB	Total
Final DSG for 2014/15 before Academy recoupment			119,087
Academy figure recouped for 2014/15			-28,695
Total DSG after Academy recoupment for 2014/15			90,392
Brought forward from 2013/14			6,185
Carry-forward to 2015/16 agreed in advance			0
Agreed initial budgeted distribution in 2014/15	20,641	75,936	96,577
In-year adjustments	0	0	0
Final budgeted distribution for 2014/15	20,641	75,936	96,577
Less actual central expenditure	-15,360		-15,360
Less actual ISB deployed to schools		-75,936	-75,936
Plus local authority contribution for 2014/15	0	0	0
Carry forward to 2015/16	5,281	0	5,281

DSG Carry Forward

The DSG for 2014/15 shows a cumulative balance of £5.281m. As identified at previous Forums, the potential risk with moving to a more National Funding Formula and changes in the SEN funding block means that there continues to be pressures in this area. Table 2 below sets out the analysis of the DSG Outturn for 2014/15

The carry forward also includes £1.64m of under spend of 2 year old funding, which is subject to a separate report on the agenda and has previously been identified to the Forum. This leaves the DSG school element at £3.641m, which appears to be a healthy balance and an appropriate level given the financial pressures on SEN and the National Funding Formula.

The other areas of significant variances in year from the original spend profile are:

- An overspend of £1.52m in the High Needs block which is due to the genuine financial pressure and is a combination of volume changes, needs changes and movement to the existing High Needs inter-authority recoupment following national funding formula changes. Forum is aware of the pressure on High Needs and this was further discussed at Budget Setting for 2015/16. The independent High Needs spend area was the largest pressure point in 2014/15 and this spending increased from £1.262m in 2013/14 to £2.191m

and was a significant part of the overspend. Further detailed analysis is taking place to establish the underlying reasons for this shift, as it does not reflect the existing policy or operational approach.

- There was a savings target built into this area of £554k against the base budget to offset historic reductions in central services following academisation. These were not fully achieved in year and this combined with being the first significant year for roll pressures in the secondary phase (Phoenix and Fulham College Boys) and also financial support needed in several Primary Schools (Sullivan and New Kings being the two main ones) has generated an overspend that are usually fully offset by in- year efficiencies, but that have not materialised this year. There was also the need to support several schools with significant problems around funding in-year that has contributed to the overspend/draw-down from balances of £1.154m. These were not significant pressures on the DSG in year as we had made sufficient historic provision in for these types of challenges.

Service	Budgeted Spend	Actual Spend	Variance
DSG Underspend carried forward from 2013/14	6,184,592		-6,184,592
High Needs Centrally Retained Funding	3,151,150	4,667,512	1,516,362
3 and 4 Year old Funding to the PVI	3,333,046	3,272,515	-60,531
2 Year Old Funding	2,515,000	872,607	-1,642,393
School Maintenance Programmes	1,435,200	1,371,297	-63,903
Other Centrally Retained Spend including maternity, trade union, growth funding, schools facing challenging financial circumstances, NNDR provisions and General Fund	4,021,604	5,176,089	1,154,485
			0
Total Underspend Carried forward to 2015/16	20,640,592	15,360,020	-5,280,572

What is apparent through the detailed work with schools in challenging circumstances in 2014/15, is that our current formula does need to re-institute the funding factor linked to roll reductions that we had prior to the National Changes to the Local Funding Formula. At the time these changes came into effect, the borough had been going through a few years of consistently growing rolls both in Primary and Secondary phases. As such, the need for additional financial protection for schools to more effectively phase in staffing and organisational changes following roll reductions was not felt to be needed.

Whilst the Minimum Funding Guarantee is in place to protect schools nationally from significant funding turbulence, our historical position as a Forum was that it never effectively responded to the real challenge of providing sufficient protection for schools experiencing large scale reductions in rolls.

It is proposed that we re-institute the previous approach to help protect schools facing significant changes in roll to enable them an extra period (April to August) to implement reductions, so that the existing school cohorts are relatively protected from significant change and an effective lead in time can be managed.

The proposal is to create a:

Provision for Falling Rolls

Where a school has a reduction in roll that exceeds 5% between financial years, that additional funding is allocated to the school to enable them to manage the financial challenge that is equivalent to the age weighted funding multiplied by the roll reduction figure x 5/12ths.

This in effect provides a core basic level of funding for the 5 month period, April to August, which would mirror the normal contractual terms in place.

Final DSG Allocation 2015/16

Appendix 1 sets out details of the 2015/16 final DSG Allocation confirmed by the EFA in March 2015.

This allocation shows the Gross Amount of funding, including the additional funding identified in March 2015 for roll growth.

School Balances 2014/15

Appendices 2 and 3 set out the School Balances at 31st March 2015, the accounts have only recently been closed and as such further work still needs to be undertaken.

Appendix 2 sets out the table identifying school balances as per the EFA requirements and shows individual school balances / annual income and percentage of balance against income. The second table in Appendix 2 breaks this down further by comparing the balances to uncommitted / committed against the annual funding streams to contextualise the balances better.

Appendix 3 identifies the schools where they have exceeded the balance threshold (8% Nursery, Primary and Specials or 5% Secondary schools).

This is stage one of the review approach and is providing Forum with the headline details. Officers need to further review these balances against the last 2 years returns by schools, as the Forum policy is that where a school are potentially unreasonably accruing balances these Headteachers will be invited to a later Forum to justify in detail the approach or risk some clawback of excessive balances to support other schools.

Appendix 1 - Final DSG Allocation 2015/16						
		Funding Per Pupil	Number of Pupil where applicable	Total Funding before Academy Recoupment	Less Academy Recoupment	Final DSG Allocation March 2015
				£000	£000	£000
Notional Schools Block	Mainstream and Recoupment Academies	6240.96	13,745	85,782	-29,344	56,438
	Non Recoupment Academies			17,231	-17,231	0
Sub total Notional School Block funding				103,013	-46,575	56,438
Early Years Block	Main Funding	6285.7	1,799			11,308
	Early Year Pupil Premium Projected					268
Subtotal Early Year's Block Funding						11,576
High Needs Block				18,758	-2,522	16,236
Newly Qualified Teachers Funding						21
Final DSG Allocation						84,271

Closing CFR School Carry Forward Balance

Appendix 2

Cost centre	Element Desc.	Total C/Fwd	Income Total	C/Fwd %
NBA004	Bayonne	-236,450.40	-742,572.61	32%
NJL004	James Lee	-240,539.44	-532,808.61	45%
NRB004	Randolph Beresford	-480,868.86	-2,019,351.51	24%
NVA004	Vanessa	-51,550.38	-780,588.08	7%
PAD004	Addison	-597,443.56	-2,871,992.03	21%
PAS004	All Saints	-68,595.28	-1,169,290.60	6%
PAV004	Avonmore	-375,704.85	-1,342,591.41	28%
PBR004	Brackenbury	-510,218.38	-3,052,863.98	17%
PFL004	Flora Gardens	-122,602.76	-2,062,253.21	6%
PFU004	Fulham	-27,418.30	-2,146,311.30	1%
PGR004	Greenside	-180,848.31	-1,443,350.28	13%
PGS004	Good Shepherd	-88,307.87	-1,506,392.39	6%
PHC004	Holy Cross	-86,044.30	-2,527,187.91	3%
PJB004	John Betts	-524,686.59	-1,841,155.86	28%
PJL004	Sir John Lillie	-448,135.19	-3,014,380.98	15%
PKE004	Kenmont	-151,426.82	-1,565,608.33	10%
PLA004	Langford	-74,991.97	-1,851,787.08	4%
PLS004	Larmenier	-410,343.63	-2,417,932.69	17%
PMI004	Melcombe	-612,115.75	-2,794,785.90	22%
PMI004	Miles Coverdale	-583,503.96	-1,903,031.83	31%
PNC004	Normand Croft	-1,092,047.63	-2,713,063.23	40%
PNK004	New Kings	-174,709.04	-1,624,882.40	11%
POL004	Old Oak	-511,745.64	-2,606,074.82	20%
PPJ004	Pope John	-404,102.46	-1,740,561.16	23%
PQM004	Queens Manor	-691,468.49	-1,727,116.41	40%
PSA004	St Augustines	-122,324.36	-1,288,007.84	9%
PSE004	St Peters	-194,309.87	-1,275,984.47	15%
PSJ004	St Johns	-58,562.80	-1,975,697.53	3%
PSM004	St Marys	-103,852.63	-1,382,996.47	8%
PSP004	St Pauls	-152,947.50	-1,551,964.79	10%
PSS004	St Stephens	-77,263.38	-1,851,697.15	4%
PST004	St Thomas	-714,198.78	-2,184,807.27	33%
PSU004	Sullivan	-349,854.62	-2,421,599.21	14%
PWE004	Wendell	-399,611.81	-2,992,609.12	13%
PWO004	Wormholt	-498,491.41	-2,977,107.90	17%
SEP004	Phoenix High	-539,775.27	-9,223,575.40	6%
SEW004	William Morris Academy	-640,747.37	-5,966,604.31	11%
SPC004	Cambridge	-343,622.90	-1,814,156.31	19%
SPJ004	Jack Tizzard	-537,158.82	-2,460,681.38	22%
SPQ004	Queensmill	-1,121,984.37	-4,851,954.18	23%
SPW004	Wood Lane	-372,986.75	-2,001,796.26	19%
Grand Total		-14,973,562.50	-94,219,174.20	

School Figures				
Committed	Uncommitted	Total	Details of Committed	Uncommitted %
-177,566.00	-58,884.40	-236,450.40	See Appendix 3	8%
-123,557.00	-116,982.44	-240,539.44	See Appendix 3	22%
-318,000.00	-162,868.86	-480,868.86	See Appendix 3	8%
-51,550.38	0.00	-51,550.38	See Appendix 3	0%
-401,899.00	-195,544.56	-597,443.56	See Appendix 3	7%
0.00	-68,595.28	-68,595.28		6%
-270,704.00	-105,000.85	-375,704.85	See Appendix 3	8%
-281,314.00	-228,904.38	-510,218.38	See Appendix 3	7%
-122,602.76	0.00	-122,602.76	See Appendix 3	0%
0.00	-27,418.30	-27,418.30		1%
-88,716.00	-92,132.31	-180,848.31	See Appendix 3	6%
0.00	-88,307.87	-88,307.87	See Appendix 3	6%
-19,923.00	-66,121.30	-86,044.30	See Appendix 3	3%
-476,135.00	-48,551.59	-524,686.59	See Appendix 3	3%
-237,546.00	-210,589.19	-448,135.19	See Appendix 3	7%
-81,940.82	-69,486.00	-151,427	See Appendix 3	4%
0.00	-74,991.97	-74,991.97		4%
-223,409.00	-186,934.63	-410,343.63	See Appendix 3	8%
-543,872.00	-68,243.75	-612,115.75	See Appendix 3	2%
-437,389.00	-146,114.96	-583,503.96	See Appendix 3	8%
-881,806.00	-210,241.63	-1,092,047.63	See Appendix 3	8%
-96,223.00	-78,486.04	-174,709.04	See Appendix 3	5%
-300,228.00	-211,517.64	-511,745.64	See Appendix 3	8%
-261,700.00	-142,402.46	-404,102.46	See Appendix 3	8%
-619,277.00	-72,191.49	-691,468.49	See Appendix 3	4%
-121,892.36	-432.00	-122,324.36	See Appendix 3	0%
-104,557.00	-89,752.87	-194,309.87	See Appendix 3	7%
0.00	-58,562.80	-58,562.80		3%
-40,228.00	-63,624.63	-103,852.63	See Appendix 3	5%
-62,307.00	-90,640.50	-152,947.50	See Appendix 3	6%
0.00	-77,263.38	-77,263.38		4%
-556,316.00	-157,882.78	-714,198.78	See Appendix 3	7%
-334,070.00	-15,784.62	-349,854.62	See Appendix 3	1%
-309,675.00	-89,936.81	-399,611.81	See Appendix 3	3%
-318,491.00	-180,000.41	-498,491.41	See Appendix 3	6%
-295,788.00	-243,987.27	-539,775.27	See Appendix 3	3%
-381,924.00	-258,823.37	-640,747.37	See Appendix 3	4%
-258,147.00	-85,475.90	-343,622.90	See Appendix 3	5%
-359,926.00	-177,232.82	-537,158.82	See Appendix 3	7%
-821,984.00	-300,000.37	-1,121,984.37	See Appendix 3	6%
-199,679.00	-173,307.75	-372,986.75	See Appendix 3	9%
-10,180,342.32	-4,793,220.18	-14,973,562.50		

GSP
 1-2-2025

Appendix 3

Bayonne - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
IT	15,500
Premises Improvements	120,000
2 yr old Capital	5,455
Forest School Strategies	19,611
Forest School Resources	7,000
Admin It	10,000
TOTAL	177,566

James Lee - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Capital condition funds	3,557
Premises Projects	120,000
TOTAL	123,557

Randolph Beresford - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Redundancy costs	50,000
Transition costs	50,000
Nursery garden project	100,000
Family Centre garden	25,000
Forest School	15,000
Provisions out of school	13,000
Premises improvements	30,000
Recruitment	10,000
Childrens Centre Premises	10,000
Play Project Premises	10,000
Disadvantage	5,000
TOTAL	318,000

Vanessa - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
School Travel Plan	3,000
Capital condition funds	24,064
To budget not yet balanced	24,487
TOTAL	51,551

Addison - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Capital Basic Need	401,899
TOTAL	401,899

Avonmore - Breakdown of Committed Funds		Appendix 3
Capital	31,254	
Premises Development	153,164	
Premises Maintenance	20,000	
IT Upgrade	15,000	
School Journey	11,000	
PE Sports Grant	10,286	
Art Therapy	14,000	
Training & Development	11,000	
Clubs	5,000	
TOTAL	270,704	

Brackenbury - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Playcentre redundancy	50,000
Library project	48,822
Gardening	21,623
PE Funding	6,749
Capital DFC	9,507
Premises Improvements	80,000
Classroom Furniture	15,000
Connecting classrooms	1,113
Looked After Children	1,500
Curriculum IT	20,000
Counselling	11,000
SpL	12,000
Playcentre resources	4,000
TOTAL	281,314

Flora Gardens - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Childrens' Centre	56,964
Devolved Capital	6,970
To balance budget	58,669
TOTAL	122,603

Greenside - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Premises Works	63,716
Academy Conversion	25,000
	0
TOTAL	88,716

Holy Cross - Breakdown of Committed Funds		Appendix 3
Capital	8,433	
Supply Teacher	2,400	
Art Teacher	700	
ESPO Consumables	520	
Arts etc	2,740	
Wandsworth Clerking Services	5,130	
TOTAL	19,923	

John Betts - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Building Conversion	476,135
	0
TOTAL	476,135

Sir John Lillie - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Capital	100,288
Sickness cover	40,000
Training & Development	40,000
Extended Services	21,258
Premises Improvements	15,000
Playground Improvements	10,000
Events & Travel Plan	11,000
TOTAL	237,546

Kenmont - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Refurbishment	66,940
Play Centre	15,000
TOTAL	81,940

Larmenier - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
New Build	140,000
Redecoration	25,000
Landscaping	20,000
School journey	27,677
PE Funding	7,987
Mercer Trust	2,745
TOTAL	223,409

Melcombe - Breakdown of Committed Funds**Appendix 3**

Sports & PE Fund	14,723
AWP	91,663
School Travel Plan	2,200
Capital condition funds	63,640
Devolved Capital	7,983
Childrens' Centre	30,000
Teachers resouces c/f	14,000
Playground Resurfacing	21,144
Renovation Project	50,000
Premises Projects	100,000
To balance budget	148,519
TOTAL	543,872

Miles Coverdale - Breakdown of Committed Funds

Building Maintenance	45,000
Building Im	244,000
It Projects	30,000
External Clubs	30,000
Extra Curricular Activities	20,000
Additional Spl and Ep Support	15,000
School Journey	56,000
TOTAL	440,000

Normand Croft - Breakdown of Committed Funds

Capital basic needs	243,333
Redundancy Costs	200,000
Library	10,361
John Lyons Charity	1,431
PE & Sports Grant	11,681
Major Repairs	15,000
Capital Project	400,000
TOTAL	881,806

New Kings - Breakdown of Committed Funds

Refurbishment and Improvement	96,223
TOTAL	96,223

Old Oak - Breakdown of Committed Funds		Appendix 3
Capital	154,106	
Play Centre	41,580	
Grounds Improvement	20,000	
Training	20,000	
Music	17,000	
School Trips	12,542	
ICT Project	10,000	
ICP Resources	10,000	
Website	5,000	
Mini Bus	5,000	
Educational Visits	5,000	
TOTAL	300,228	

Pope John - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
SEN Consultants	29,000
Curriculum IT Upgrade	30,000
Premises Improvements	55,000
Sports Coach	23,253
Furniture	20,000
School Journeys	6,000
Prospectus	5,000
Extended Schools	5,000
Website	5,000
Capital	21,455
Childrens Milk	3,000
Breakfast Club	3,000
Agency Support Cover	20,000
Assessment	3,000
Training and Development	20,000
Teaching School Alliance	2,992
Recruitment	10,000
TOTAL	261,700

Queensmanor - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Devolved Capital	12,697
Capital condition funds	242,422
Premises Projects	90,000
Pupil Premium 14-15	47,403
Queens Club 14-15	17,697
Sports & PE Fund	8,958
To balance budget	200,100
TOTAL	619,277

St Augustines - Breakdown of Committed Funds		Appendix 3
Premises Refurbishment		116,092
School Journey		5,800
TOTAL		121,892

St Peters - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Clever touch boards	30,000
Building Project Govs c/f	30,901
Enthuse funding	2,500
Admin PC replacement	7,500
Short term class support	33,656
TOTAL	104,557

St Marys - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Training	20,000
School Trips	6,845
PE Grant Balance	8,744
Schoo Journeys	3,559
School Travel Plan	1,080
TOTAL	40,228

St Pauls - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Admin related costs	20,108
Curriculum costs	1,558
Premises costs	40,641
TOTAL	62,307

St Thomas - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
New Curriculum Resources	
IT Infrastructure	47,880
Drama Club	4,477
New Build- Group Room	350,000
Capital Project	105,415
Sports Consultants	30,000
Classroom Phase 2	12,000
School Trips	6,544
TOTAL	556,316

Sullivan - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Retention Payments	100,000
Nursery Expansion	234,070
TOTAL	334,070

Wendell Park - Breakdown of Committed Funds		Appendix 3
Pupil Premium		39,258
Shine Academy		19,310
Chelsea Breakfast		979
Friends of Wendell Pk		5,390
Devolved Capital		9,366
Family Centre Funds		38,852
Capital Maintenance		6,036
Premises Projects		80,570
To balance budget		109,914
TOTAL		309,675

Wormholt - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Devolved Capital	2,379
Bulge Class Build	25,088
Development Projects	284,022
Sports & PE Fund	7,002
TOTAL	318,491

Phoenix High - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Capital	32,132
Post 16 risk assurance	119,898
SLT Re-structure costs	90,276
14/15 Overtime	12,341
PPG Adjustment	41,141
TOTAL	295,788

William Morris - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Capital	143,360
Specific Grants (inc 16-19 Bursary)	91,134
Planned Improvements/Refurbishment	147,430
TOTAL	381,924

Cambridge - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Capital Basic Need	258,147
TOTAL	258,147

Jack Tizzard - Breakdown of Committed Funds		Appendix 3
Premises improvements/asset management priorities:	101,926	
16-19 Bursary fund:	20,000	
New phone system:	10,000	
Specialist Equipment:	40,000	
Contingency for budget adjustments:	100,000	
Funding for pay award and pensions:	88,000	
TOTAL	359,926	

Queensmill - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
ICT Projects	100,000
Sports & PE Fund	8,380
Pupil Premium 14-15	26,734
Pupil Premium Award	100,000
New SEN Units	350,000
To balance budget	236,870
TOTAL	821,984

Woodlane - Breakdown of Committed Funds	
Premises Improvements & New Build	80,000
Recruitment	7,000
Kitchen Hub	15,500
Staff Development	20,000
College Links	17,500
Exams Assesment	13,000
Trips/Visits	10,000
Healthy Schools	5,000
PE Sports Grant c/f	4,608
Capital	7,071
Therapies	10,000
Curriculum Consultants	10,000
TOTAL	199,679

SCHOOLS FORUM – London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham

REPORT BY TRI-BOROUGH ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FOR SEN & VULNERABLE CHILDREN

HIGH NEEDS – FUNDING ARRANGEMENTS 2014/2015

This report;

* Summarises LBHF short term contingency funding agreements following implementation at the start of the academic year 2014/15 – for comment.

* Provides an update on the implementation of an enhanced SEN Early Years local offer through implementation of block HNB contingency funding – for comment

* Provides an update on resources allocated through Local Authority statement of SEN or EHC resource allocation agreements September 2014/April 2015

Introduction

1. The Children & Families Act was enacted from 1st September 2014. The change in legislation brings with it duties on the Local Authority to:
 - 1.2. publish a Local Offer of provision for children and young people 0-25 with special educational needs and consult with parents and young people on the Local Authority
 - 1.3. Transfer children with a statement of SEN to an Education, Health and Care (Sept 2014-April 2018)
 - 1.4. Undertake new single education, health and care assessment for children with a learning difficulty
 - 1.5. Offer a personal budget to those young people issued with an EHC Plan
2. The Local Authority has high aspirations for children with SEN and as such the strategic approach to High Needs Funding that has been adopted to date has been based on the premise that there is a continuum of local provision for local children funded from the High Needs Block, which, in the long term, supports young people with SEN in gaining employment and becoming independent in or near their local community.
3. The London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham is keen to support early years settings, schools and FE providers in having a well resourced Local Offer to meet children's additional learning needs. The London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham has the following specialist provision:

- 3.2. An autism special school – Queensmill
 - 3.3. A primary autism resource base at Fulham Primary School (Queensmill)
 - 3.4. A secondary autism resource base at Fulham College Boys (Queensmill)
 - 3.5. A KS1 speech, language and communication resource base at Miles Coverdale School
 - 3.6. Jack Tizard Special School (SLD/PMLD)
 - 3.7. Wood Lane High School (MLD/SPLD)
 - 3.8. Cambridge School (MLD/SEBD)
 - 3.9. Access to a further range of statefunded specialist education provision in RBKC and WCC.
4. The premise underpinning High Needs Funding is that the majority of children have their additional learning needs met in mainstream schools from available resources (notional SEN budget Element 2) and interim SEN contingency funding (Element 3) with provision for the children with complex needs such that they have an Education, Health and Care Plans (EHCPs) or statement of SEN funded through Top Up (Elements 4 and 5) – see previously circulated diagram.

High Needs Block short term contingency funding – see appendix i

5. From September 2014, to support schools in providing responsive intervention for children with a continuum of needs a contingency fund of £200K high needs funding was identified for schools and an additional £200K contingency for Early Years (pro rata – Sept-March @ 7/12ths.. The purpose of the contingency fund is to support the achievement of specific outcomes through targeted intervention for children with SEN in schools for whom provision cost more than £6000 (notional SEN budget) and less than £12,000 (statement of SEN funding). Short term contingency funding can be accessed through a request made to the SEN Service and agreed based on the feedback of EHC Panel members.
6. For children in early years settings the short term contingency funding request is for the full cost of intervention as there is no notional SEN budget allocation for early years providers.
7. The short term contingency request form has been revised to clarify the distinction between school and early years applications and with the support of the High Needs Block Reference Group members from across different Boroughs. (See attached).
8. Requests for short term contingency funding have increased during the academic year with few requests during the autumn term 2014 and an increase since January 2015.
9. Please find attached a summary of all contingency funding requests received and the financial implications.
10. It is proposed that this approach continue during 2015/16 with regular feedback and the opportunity of the HNB Reference Group to review decisions. **Does Schools Forum agree this proposal?**

Funding for Early Years Enhanced SEN offer

11. It has been identified by the Early Years Sector that upfront and early intervention achieves better outcomes for children.
12. To enable the Local Authority to offer enhanced SEN provision in a small number of Early Years settings which act as hubs of expertise for other settings within a wider geographical area Early Years High Needs Block Reference Group members have developed a set of criteria for allocating three EY settings in LBHF to deliver an enhanced SEN local offer over a three year period.
13. It is proposed that the opportunity to apply for the funding to deliver an Early Years enhanced SEN Local Offer is offered for a time-limited period ending in July. The EY High Needs Reference Group propose that the decision regarding allocation of funds was made by a panel of LA officers, including an educational psychologist, LA senior officer for SEN, a school standards early years' adviser, the designated clinical officer and a commissioning officer.
14. Each of the identified early years settings will be funded £40K per annum to provide an enhanced SEN Offer as per the attached outline. This will be funded from a High Needs Block contingency allocation.
15. Schools Forum is asked to agree the proposed way forward.

The Good Shepherd	LBHF	01/01/15	02/04/15	£5,000
RANDOLPH BERESFORD E	LBHF	25/11/14	25/11/15	£12,000
RANDOLPH BERESFORD E	LBHF	25/11/14	25/11/15	£11,400
Sir John Lillie Primary	LBHF	25/11/14		£1,899
Greenside Primary	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Nil
Greenside Primary	LBHF	25/11/14	25/11/15	£6,000
Ark Bentworth Primary	LBHF	02/12/14	01/04/15	£2,000
Old Oak Primary	LBHF	02/12/14	01/04/15	£6,000
Woodlane Medical Needs	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Nil
Woodlane Medical Needs	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Nil
Woodlane Medical Needs	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Nil
Woodlane Medical Needs	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Nil
Miles Coverdale Primary School	LBHF	10/12/14	01/04/15	£2,000
Bayonne Nursery	LBHF	03/09/14	21/07/15	£12,000
RANDOLPH BERESFORD EYC	LBHF	06/01/15	21/07/15	£4,496.40
St Paul's Primary	LBHF	12/01/15	02/04/15	£3,747.00
Sullivan Primary	LBHF	13/01/15	21/07/15	£9,742.32
Wormholt Park Primary	LBHF	13/01/15	21/07/15	£3,688.82
Randolph Beresford	LBHF	20/01/2015	02/04/15	£6,000
Old Oak Primary School	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Nil
Randolph Beresford EYC	LBHF	01/01/15	21/07/15	£5,068.20
Langford Primary	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Nil
Vanessa Nursery	LBHF	02/09/14	21/07/15	£9,375
Vanessa Nursery	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Defer

Normand Croft	LBHF	27/01/15	02/04/15	£15,725
Vanessa Nursery	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Nil
Bayonne Nursery	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Defer
Bayonne Nursery	LBHF	03/02/15	31/05/15	£5,084
Langford Primary	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Defer
RANDOLPH BERESFORD E	LBHF	17/02/15	21/07/15	£12,533.00
Addison Primary	LBHF	24/02/15	21/07/15	£3,300
Sullivan Primary	LBHF	13/02/15	21/07/15	£3,300
Melcombe Primary	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Defer
Melcombe Primary	LBHF	11/02/15	21/07/15	£1,771
Fulham Primary	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Nil
Fulham Primary	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Defer
Fulham Primary	LBHF	05/02/15	N/A	£4,496.40
Normand Croft	LBHF	17/03/15		£4,496.40

Pope John	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Nil
St John's Walham Green CE Primary	LBHF	24/03/15	21/07/15	£3,840
Lady Margaret School	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Nil
Lady Margaret School	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Nil
Randolph Beresford EYC	LBHF	14/04/15	21/04/15	£4,000
Bayonne Nursery	LBHF	21/04/15	21/04/15	£7,200
Hurlingham & Chelsea	LBHF	N/A	N/A	Nil
Ark Bentworth	LBHF	19/05/15	26/10/15	£3,647