

COUNCIL MINUTES

COUNCIL MEETING

WEDNESDAY 17 JULY 2019



PRESENT

The Mayor
Deputy Mayor

Councillors:

Colin Aherne
Andrew Brown
Daryl Brown
Jonathan Caleb-Landy
Iain Cassidy
Ben Coleman
Christabel Cooper
Stephen Cowan
Larry Culhane
Alan De'Ath
Belinda Donovan
Sue Fennimore
Wesley Harcourt

Rebecca Harvey
Sharon Holder
Lisa Homan
Donald Johnson
Andrew Jones
Alex Karmel
Bora Kwon
Amanda Lloyd-Harris
David Morton
PJ Murphy
Natalia Perez
Zarar Qayyum
Patricia Quigley

Rowan Ree
Lucy Richardson
Ann Rosenberg
Max Schmid
Asif Siddique
Fiona Smith
Frances Stainton
Matt Thorley
Matt Uberoi
Mercy Umeh
Rory Vaughan
Guy Vincent

1. MINUTES

7.02pm - RESOLVED

The minutes of the Annual Council meeting held on 15 May 2019 were agreed as an accurate record.

2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Adam Connell, Rachel Leighton, Alexandra Sanderson, Sue Macmillan, Mark Loveday, Dominic Stanton, Adronie Alford, and Victoria Brocklebank-Fowler.

Apologies for lateness were received from Councillors Ann Rosenberg, Zarar Qayyum and Rory Vaughan.

3. MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Mayor informed the Council of the death of Mrs Christine Hammond, a former Labour Councillor who represented Addison Ward from 1974 to 1976. The Leader of the Council and Councillor Belinda Donovan made speeches paying tribute to her.

The Mayor then informed the Council of two young people who had been tragically killed in the borough earlier in the year – Ayub Hassan and Yusuf Mohammed.

The Council stood for a minute of silence in remembrance.

4. DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS

Councillor Rory Vaughan stated, as an employee of the Financial Services regulator, he had to declare an interest in Special Motion 3 – Remaining in the EU. He left the room before the debate started and did not vote on the motion.

5. PUBLIC QUESTIONS (20 MINUTES)

QUESTION NO. 1 – FOOD WASTE COLLECTIONS FOR FLATS

From: William Reynolds, Resident

To: Councillor Wesley Harcourt, Cabinet Member for the Environment

Question

“H&F Council have made clear their laudable intentions to make the borough cleaner, greener and more sustainable.

Like many others in the borough I live in a block of flats. We share communal rubbish and recycling bins with the rest of building and the estate. We are lucky enough to have a wonderful community garden in our estate, where we have a small compost bin - however we can only use this for uncooked, fruit and vegetable waste, and even the small commitment it takes to walk to the other side of the estate means the compost bin is barely used by any residents.

What are the councils plans to introduce food waste collections to flats in the borough to divert a useful resource from landfill or incineration?”

William Reynolds was unable to attend so the question was taken as read.

Response from Councillor Wesley Harcourt

“We continue to work on many initiatives which are aimed at making the borough cleaner, greener and more sustainable. To date we have invested in more street cleaning, removed the use of harmful chemicals on our streets and are working on improving transport infrastructure, reducing noise and light pollution and cleaning our air.

In respect of estates we have a high proportion of people (73%) who live in flats and maisonettes.

We are already working with tenants and residents' associations to improve their amenities and green the estates, by identifying buildings to install green roofs and green walls on, which will help with insulation and roof integrity; we are also looking at large paved areas which can be converted to grass and for planting of trees and plants, which is not only more aesthetically pleasing but improves drainage and also air quality.

As part of the Mayor's Environmental Strategy, all London Councils are required to identify and carry out food waste collections where appropriate and as an authority we want to work with residents to help meet their demands for improved services.

Some estates already have wonderful community gardens and residents who routinely compost their fruit and vegetable matter but would like to extend into other areas of food waste.

Food waste tends to be one of the highest items in the residual waste stream (some 35%) whether from the kerbside properties or from flats, so there's a significant opportunity for recycling. This is something that as a Council we are currently reviewing as it offers both environmental and economic benefits. (Reduced residual disposal costs and increased recycling).

Western Riverside Waste Authority currently handles all of our collected materials. Ideally, we would like to remove food waste from this waste stream so that it can be recycled. However, waste which cannot be re-used or recycled, which includes food waste at present, is compacted into containers before being loaded onto barges for its onward river journey down to Belvedere. This is transported to Cory's Riverside Resource Recovery, Energy from Waste Facility, where the waste is then used for energy recovery to generate electricity."

QUESTION NO. 2 – CAPITALISING ON AWARENESS OF CLIMATE CHANGE

From: Pat Tookey, Resident

To: Councillor Wesley Harcourt, Cabinet Member for the Environment

Question

"Given the United Nations' Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change report (8th October 2018) on the imminent impacts of global warming and the need to take urgent action, how is the council planning to capitalise on the heightened awareness of the climate and ecological emergency, build on its current initiatives, and protect its residents?"

Response from Councillor Wesley Harcourt

"Thank you very much Mrs Tookey for coming along tonight and asking that particular question. It's actually very timely, as you've said, there's been a heightened awareness of the dangers of climatic change – people like Sir David Attenborough, the recent series of school protests, and the Climate Extinction

demonstration – some of the people who are here today, who I spoke to outside not so very long ago.

In fact, just over a year ago I moved a motion in this chamber on the reality and dangers of climate change and global warming.

The IPCC report which you refer to in your question of 2018, I must admit I haven't read it from start to finish but I have read the opening chapters which give a very good summary of what the issues are. The report draws attention to the impact of a one and a half degree Celsius warming above pre-industrial levels and the actions that are needed to limit warming to that one and a half degrees.

The report, interestingly, refers to the Anthropocene era as a new geological era affected by human beings and draws attention to the effects that the one percent rise in global temperatures we're already experiencing is having. Things like the number of extreme climate related disasters which have doubled since the early 1990's – we've recently seen temperatures 32 degrees in Alaska, there's been floods, droughts, Cape Town was very nearly completely shut off with water last year, the same as one of the cities in India at the moment, wild fires, crop failures, desertification, and the report suggests things like that could possibly lead to conflict and even to war in the future.

I think we also need to make reference to the Union of Concerned Scientists who made a declaration in 1992 where they stated we'd reached the tipping point of irreversible damage to the biosphere. There's a further statement by them in 2017 which refers to the pace of change – and it talks about things like 50 percent of the carbon dioxide that's been added by human beings to the atmosphere has happened in the last 25 years. It suggests that heatwaves like last summer will soon be the norm and that species are becoming extinct at 100 times faster than the rate in the geological past. All of this is a threat to food, I hope I don't need to continue with that series of information.

The United Nations has also stated that we have just 11 years to limit the climate change catastrophe and it talks about two aspects – the first one is reducing the emissions of the six greenhouse gases and the other one is about raising awareness. If not, they predict that there will be the sixth mass extinction event by the end of the Century, and that's referring to human beings.

So what are we doing? Well, there's a motion on tonight's Council agenda to declare a climate emergency. 13 London boroughs have already done so and 104 across the country. We are stating in the motion that we will be carbon zero by 2030, ten years ahead of what the Government target is. We're going to set up a cross-cutting climate change unit, there will be more of that – and I do hope you'll be staying for the rest of the meeting to listen to that discussion – on how that's going to be said. And we will be setting up a resident-led commission, and certainly if you were interested in that I would be encouraging you to apply to sit on that commission.

What have we done already – one of the decisions we took about a year ago was to disinvest in fossil fuel companies, so the pension fund and everything is no longer investing in that. We've been pushing the increase in electric vehicles – we

have the largest number of electric vehicle charging points in London. The Bluecity car club, which is all electric, provides their electricity – it's green electricity. We're firmly against the expansion of Heathrow, we've said that many, many times – and this is one of the things that people are going to have to consider if we want to change and reduce the effects of climate change is instead of expanding the amount of air travel, actually reducing that. We don't send any of our waste to landfill, it all goes to produce energy from waste as I said in my answer to the previous question. Green spaces, we've won any number of awards for our sustainable urban drainage schemes – not only do they sort out the increased risk of flooding, but also they green the area – greening increases the amount of absorption of carbon dioxide and reduces the amount of emissions and so on - so that greening is extremely important. Also in terms of reducing emissions we have two of the main bus corridors through the borough in the form of Uxbridge Road and King Street, both of which are low emission buses. And there's also two bus routes which are fully electric – C1 and the 70 bus routes.

I've already mentioned what we're doing about food waste. And the work we've talked about doing with Imperial College. Imperial College have developed a 'bionic tree' which is many times more effective at absorbing emissions than even ordinary green trees and vegetation, so we're working with them to put that sort of thing up as well. So there's an enormous amount we've done on this work already, there's a lot more to do, and – as I think the Leader was saying outside to the demonstrators – we are absolutely committed to this process and will be taking it forward.”

Follow-up question from Pat Tookey

“Thank you Councillor Harcourt, that was really very helpful, your answer, and we're really heartened to hear that you're on top of what the scientists are telling us – that the time to act is now and that there's no time to waste. And we're also really encouraged to hear all the good things that the borough is already planning and implementing.

We're also absolutely delighted that you're going to discuss the climate and biodiversity emergency this evening and we hope that there will be support right across the Council for this motion and the associated commitments that you've put into it. We don't feel this should be a party political issue – we all breathe the same air, we all rely on the same common local, national and global infrastructure – this is really important for every single one of us.

As you will have noted from our activities outside the Council earlier today, just now, we're also very interested in other issues on your agenda – the plans for Hammersmith Bridge and supporting cycling in and throughout the borough. I'll get on to a supplementary question now.

Declaring a climate emergency is the first step – we'd like to ask you – how will you measure our current carbon footprint and how will you monitor progress towards the target to make the whole of the borough carbon-neutral by 2030? (It's a very long question with a lot of question marks in it.) We hope this isn't a target just for the Council's own buildings and infrastructure, but for the whole borough – residents, services and businesses.

Will your cross-cutting climate change emergency unit have scrutiny powers and Cabinet-level input? And will you commit to publishing annual reports on progress in all areas towards the 2030 target?

We are sure that all new build developments in the borough will be to high environmental standards but how are you going to improve the borough's current housing stock (for example, in promoting energy efficiency, boiler replacement, and alleviating fuel poverty)? And will your efforts in these areas be directed not only to Council dwellings but also to private rental and owner occupied properties and businesses?

And we'd like to hear some practical proposals as soon as possible about how much quicker you're going to work to improve air quality, reduce pollution, and reduce food waste (as you were just taking about).

And we're really glad to hear you're divesting from fossil fuels and we hope that that includes other high-carbon companies as well, not just the typical fossil fuel companies.

Finally, will you be working with other London boroughs and the GLA to set higher standards locally and across London, to take account of the climate emergency and your new goals – and will you work with them to promote the establishment of a London-wide citizens assembly?

We hear a lot about the massive costs of combating climate change, but climate catastrophe is going to be even more costly. Policies to combat climate change should improve people's lives – cleaner air, safer water, employment opportunities in sustainable industries, better travel options, better homes, better health. So we want to be involved in things that you're planning, we want to support your resident-led commission, we want to help to encourage resident input and buy-in into the wide range of innovative policies and actions that are needed for us to play our local part in averting climate and biodiversity catastrophe and we hope that many of our questions and ambitions can be addressed by you now, and in the debate later, and in ongoing discussions. We will be seeing a lot of you, we want to hold you to account and help you to live up to the high standards you're setting."

Response from Councillor Wesley Harcourt

"A lot of very interesting points there. I don't know that I'm going to answer them all now, I'll do my best as I can.

I think the first point you made, that climate extinction is not something that is going to be party-political, if we do have the climate catastrophe people on both this side and that side will be equally affected so it is not a party-political issue. Although there will be some party-political issues on how we actually go about achieving that.

I can certainly commit to the fact that there's going to be the resident-led commission – more than happy for that to make annual reports. Setting very high standards for buildings – as you know this building is going to be refurbished and new Council buildings and bits and pieces added – they are already going to be of the highest quality and looking at how we can do things to make sure we don't

adversely affect heat, things like passive heat reduction and such like is obviously going to be part of that process, and indeed, that sort of passive work is something that we're trying and get built in to new developments that are coming through our planning committee at the moment.

In terms of private rented, you mentioned, there is a scheme in Newham where they've managed to raise money which has paid for inspectors to go in and license every single private rented – and part of that business is making sure that they're energy efficient. Whether we look at a similar scheme here I'm not so sure because we haven't even started looking at that here yet but these ideas that have been done in other boroughs like community energy schemes and such like, these are all things that we're going to have to look at – both as part of that commission and also for this cross-cutting climate change unit we're setting up. The whole idea is, and it's a big problem with many local authorities, that we all work in silos and don't talk to each other. Whereas climate change affects everybody, therefore it's going to affect the housing department – how do we make sure the homes that you talked about are energy efficient, that the boilers aren't pumping out nasty amounts of NOx and such like as they are one of the key emitters – and how we get the money to replace those over the years with something more efficient.

So all of those things are going to be looked at – also there's obviously the highways issues, electrification of vehicles (although, while that is very good in terms of gaseous emissions it doesn't remove the particulate pollution, in terms of tires, brakes etc. etc.).

So there's a whole range of things and it's very, very difficult for me to answer every single one of those. Perhaps you could give me that list at some point and I'll happily give you a more detailed written answer. I'm happy to talk to you and meet with you at any time you want to discuss this – and in fact when you say about commitment I think the Leader has already said, this is just such an important issue that he is actually personally going to take a lead on this issue as well. So while it's in my Cabinet brief and I'll be dealing with matters on a day-to-day basis the Leader will be taking the actual lead on this and that shows the level of commitment that we have to this in this Council.”

Response from the Leader of the Council

“Let's just make a commitment now so we understand where we're at – we're setting up a cross-cutting climate change unit, climate emergency unit, and we're bringing in people with a high degree of climate change expertise and they will be developing policies – but that will work in conjunction with the resident-led commission - and that will be up to the Chair and the Administration, but the Chair will really be quite independent in putting a number of people on there so many people in this room should seek to be on that.

What I think we should do is start with a hackathon. We've had a very successful number of hackathons where we've got sometimes up to 300 people in the room, and we've developed strategy and policy on that, it's facilitated. So I think a very good thing for us to do would be for all the people who came today to get into a room the size of the Assembly Hall and to begin to accelerate the policy development with a hackathon as the launch of our climate change unit. The unit reports directly in to me because this is *the* priority of our times and that's where I

think we should move forward. But prior to that, if we aim to do that in September, come and see me as quickly as you can and maybe we can work together on how we get this thing to the next stage.

But thank you again for taking the trouble to organise today's activity, to come to the Town Hall, and to commit to working with us to develop the best possible policies that begin to cut back the dreadful situation with climate change. And we aim to be the borough that not just does everything we can for our borough but to set a mark that we hope other boroughs might follow and, with your help, I think we'll get there. So thank you."

The Mayor extended the Public Question Time for 5 minutes.

QUESTION NO. 3 – THE CLOSURE OF HAMMERSMITH BRIDGE

From: Aliya Khan, Resident

To: Councillor Stephen Cowan, Leader of the Council

Question

"The emergency closure of Hammersmith Bridge has caused chaos for the residents of both Hammersmith and Fulham and Richmond, especially Barnes. Not only for those whose lives require regular crossing over the bridge, but for all of the residents of the borough who are suffering with the horrendous congestion and pollution as traffic is gridlocked both in Fulham on the route to Putney Bridge and in Hammersmith on the way to Chiswick Bridge.

Why has a plan still not been agreed between LBHF and TFL to repair our bridge and to get it safely reopened, as quickly as possible?"

Aliya Khan was unable to attend so the Leader of the Council read out the question.

Response from the Leader of the Council

"So, I think there's two parts – the second paragraph is the question and the first part is the pre-amble. So, the pre-amble, we broadly recognise the problems of the closure of Hammersmith Bridge.

The second part of the question is completely wrong – the premise is wrong. It is nonsense to say that there has not been a plan agreed between LBHF and TfL to repair our bridge or get it safely opened.

And I suppose what we need to recognise is that the reason Hammersmith Bridge was closed was because we found two micro-fractures in the cast-iron pedestals that hold the suspension chains that suspend the bridge in place. This 1887 bridge, based on an 1824 structure that rests in the river, is indeed an amazing feat of engineering for its age, but it was unusual to make it out of cast-iron and wrought-iron and the modern day traffic is in no way similar to what it was designed for. And that traffic at one point was 20,000 vehicles a day and 1,800 buses a day – so tremendous amounts of traffic.

I like this bridge, I love the bridge, it's in my ward. I walk past it every day, at least twice.

What was a surprise to me when I took on this job in 2014 and I said, "why is the bridge not being painted?", which was the most obvious problem – and why has the bridge not had a replacement of all the wooden structures that all the traffic was driving over. And the answer came back very firmly, from the officers, that there was no plan of any sort to repair the bridge. And secondly there was no structural integrity review in modern post-war history. Now why would you do a structural integrity review? Well you do it to make sure the bridge is safe. You do it to make sure the bridge doesn't collapse.

So successive administrations up until 2014 had been negligent, had failed in their duties to protect the safety of the people using the bridge, and had failed to commit the necessary resources and, indeed, had failed to have even the most basic of structural integrity reviews. We fixed that by asking for a restoration plan and a full structural integrity review – and that began in 2015. And I at this point want to say how absolutely grateful I am, throughout that period, to TfL and particularly in the recent months to Mayor Sadiq Khan and Deputy Mayor for Transport, Heidi Alexander, who have helicoptered in on this issue and got involved in this issue in a way that is indeed extremely impressive.

So what we have now is a thorough review of the structural integrity of the bridge. What's interesting as we did this is very early on in that review we found that the bearings at the top of the two towers, which are the most beautiful part of the architectural structure, had seized up. Seized up. And what that meant, is that part of the bridge was meant to move, left and right, as the pressures of the traffic and other factors affected the wider structure. They seized up between 40 and 50 years ago, according to our engineers.

Now anyone who did a little bit of physics at school will tell you that energy doesn't just evaporate, it goes somewhere else. And the energy that should have been released from the top of the two bridge bearings went down the chains into the cast-iron casings and caused micro-fractures. Now micro-fractures shouldn't be a concern, but they should be if they're in cast-iron – because cast-iron shatters. And it is that cast-iron, and the potential for it to shatter, that gave us two challenges. Firstly, a shock – and secondly it gave us the challenge of how do we fix it. So we closed the bridge immediately because this administration will never knowingly do anything to put anyone's safety at risk.

And indeed, if it hadn't been for the absolute thorough structural integrity review, undertaken in 2014 and begun in 2015 – and happened every week, slowly but surely working from one end of the bridge to the next, testing every aspect, getting permission from English Heritage to scrape off paint, to lift up parts of the bridge that hadn't been touched and were considered sacred by English Heritage. We had to put people in special breathing equipment to climb down into the ballast of the bridge, where you can only remain for 20 minutes because of the pressure that's in there, and you then need specialist training to work in that environment. And then they had to scrap the paint off, and then they had to use the ultra-sonic equipment. Slowly but surely working through the bridge, we found the micro-

fractures. And if we hadn't found that it begs the question, "what would have happened with such high levels of traffic using the bridge?"

Now at that time, in April, when we discovered the micro-fractures – we did not know, and our specialist engineers did not know – how many more they were going to find. It could be twenty, a hundred, or *hundreds*. And so on that basis, we said the bridge was closed 'indefinitely'. If there's any good news in this, the specialist engineers who we'd flown in from California and who'd worked as specialists on oil-rigs, have now advised us that they've found just five. Five micro-fractures. So we know that at the longest, it will take three years. Now anyone who knows this administration will tell you of its impatience to get things done, so I can tell everybody that we have been pushing to have this bridge fixed as quickly as possible. And that is precisely what's happening. Working very closely with TfL who, so far, of the £5.8m that's been spent, have spent £5.3m just on the structural integrity review – that's an astonishingly large amount of money – and that is the depth of our close working relationship.

But this is a problem that should be above politics. Because it would be very easy for us to attack every single former administration, and every single decision made by some of the people who are still elected to office in this Council, where they failed at a fundamental level to protect the integrity of our borough icon. It'd be very easy to do that, but I think, what we have to do is to come together and rise above politics. So at this point I would take a moment to thank the Liberal Democrat Administration in Richmond for doing precisely that. It was extremely heartening for me to be at the two public meetings they organised, and to set out these problems, and to talk about the solutions, and to hear from the residents, and to do that side-by-side with someone from a different party but who was mature and sensible enough to recognise that issues like this require people to step up.

So that's the issue of Hammersmith Bridge, it's a complex problem and we are working with the best possible people – and we will reopen it. And we will do that in the context of considering the wider transport issues, because what we need to do is to change the basis of how our citizenry moves around London. And that's how we will work forward. So we began to look at the idea of having the 'fly-under' extend into Barnes. With all the complexities of that, that should require a united cross-party approach to whoever is in Government at the time. And that is the way you make the situation fit for purpose over the future.

And therefore, the premise that there has been no plan agreed with LBHF and TfL is completely false. And my only sympathy to the person who's asked the question, who I understand may be a Conservative candidate at a former election... [disagreement from the Opposition] ...I thought they were...

So my only sympathy for that premise being quite so wrong is that there has been a tremendous amount of nonsense deliberately circulated, by people who should know better, about what's gone wrong with the bridge. And I can tell you something, the reason people in Barnes were so understanding when I stood there with the Leader of the Liberal Democrats in Barnes – shoulder to shoulder, focused on the problem together – is the public has no appetite for that. What they want when big problems come together is unity. Now I think they want national unity on lots of issues as we'll hear later tonight. But they want unity, they want the right

thing done, and that's what this administration is doing – and in part we're fixing the wrongs of many former administrations over many decades.

Thank you very much.”

6. ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION / COMMITTEE REPORTS

6.1 Appointment of the Monitoring Officer

7.37pm – The report and recommendations were formally moved for adoption by the Leader of the Council, Councillor Stephen Cowan.

The report and recommendations were then put to the vote:

FOR	UNANIMOUS
AGAINST	0
NOT VOTING	0

7.37pm – RESOLVED

That Rhian Davies be confirmed as the Council's Monitoring Officer.

6.2 Review of the Constitution

7.37pm – The report and recommendations were formally moved for adoption by the Leader of the Council, Councillor Stephen Cowan.

The report and recommendations were then put to the vote:

FOR	UNANIMOUS
AGAINST	0
NOT VOTING	0

7.37pm - RESOLVED

1. To note the full Committee Memberships for 2019-20 (Appendix 1 of the report).
2. To note the appointment of Councillor David Morton as the Lead Member – Representative for the Armed Forces Community to replace Councillor Alan De'Ath.
3. To note the appointment of Councillor Rebecca Harvey as the Lead Member for Child Refugees.
4. That the Chief Executive make in-year appointments to Committees upon receipt of nominations by the Party Whips, be approved.

5. That subject to the approval of recommendations above, the Council's Constitution be adopted for the 2019/20 Municipal Year.

6.3 **White City Estate – New Council Homes and Community Facilities**

7.38pm – The report and recommendations were formally moved for adoption by the Cabinet Member for the Economy, Councillor Andrew Jones.

The Cabinet Member for Finance and Commercial Services, Councillor Max Schmid, and the Cabinet Member for the Economy, Andrew Jones, made speeches on the report (for the Administration).

The report and recommendations were then put to the vote:

FOR	UNANIMOUS
AGAINST	0
NOT VOTING	0

7.43pm - RESOLVED

That Full Council approved the budget set out in exempt Appendix 2 of the report to acquire the NHS PS property and that this additional budget will need to be funded from retained right to buy receipts and HRA borrowing.

7. **SPECIAL MOTIONS**

Under Standing Order 15(e)(3), Councillor Alex Karmel moved to give precedence to Special Motion 2. The motion was agreed.

7.2 **Special Motion 2 - Climate Emergency**

7.43pm – Councillor Bora Kwon moved, seconded by Councillor Jonathan Caleb-Landy, the special motion in their names with the following amendment to the third bullet point:

- *Set a target date of 2030 for the whole of the Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham to be carbon zero.*

“This Council notes with concern the recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report on global climate change impacts and the recent Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES) reports on global species and habitat loss.

Further to this, Council:

1. *Declares its recognition of global climate and biodiversity emergencies.*
2. *Agrees the Council will:*

- *Set up a new cross-cutting climate change emergency unit to accelerate its strategy and actions across all Council departments and activities.*
- *Initiate an independent, resident-led, climate change emergency commission to publicly develop ground-breaking policies that tackle climate change.*
- *Set a target date of 2030 for the whole of the Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham to be carbon neutral.*
- *Review our resident-led commissions on air quality and biodiversity to consider how the Council can further strengthen local protection and enhancement of species, habitats and ecosystems and air quality systems under available powers.*

3. *Requests that the Chief Executive write to the Chancellor of the Exchequer stating the concern of the Council with respect to the above, the likely national impact on the economy and on the well-being of citizens, and requesting government funding be made available to implement swift appropriate actions in response.”*

Speeches on the special motion were made by Councillors Bora Kwon, Jonathan Caleb-Landy, Sue Fennimore, and PJ Murphy (for the Administration) and Councillors Matt Thorley and Donald Johnson (for the Opposition).

Under Standing Order 15(e)(6), Councillor Andrew Brown moved, seconded by Councillor Donald Johnson, an amendment in their names:

“At end add:

Further to this, Council recognises that the Climate Emergency cannot wait for committees and commissions to take months or years to make changes, when action is needed now:

1. *Recognises the increase in electric car charging points in the borough but agrees that this is not sufficient to meet demand or to address the Climate Emergency.*
2. *Notes the teething troubles with the new lamppost charging points in the borough which could damage residents’ confidence in this programme and resolves to improve the relevant signage.*
3. *Regrets the £200 charge for a smart cable to use the these charging points and resolves to reduce this to £20.*
4. *Welcomes the discount for parking permits for greener hybrid vehicles of £60 and resolves to reduce this to £30.*
5. *Welcomes the free parking permits for fully electric vehicles and resolves to provide a cash back scheme of at least £50 to incentivise use of these vehicles.*
6. *Resolves to extend the partial discount scheme for hybrid and fully electric vehicles to business permit holders to incentivise electric commercial vehicles.*

7. *Resolves to replace the Council fleet of diesel vehicles with electric vehicles as soon as is practically possible, bearing in mind the cost to residents, and to incentivise Council contractors to use greener hybrid and fully electric vehicles.*
8. *Agrees to cover the costs of these incentive schemes through increased permit charges for new diesel vehicles, registered from 1st January 2020, recognising the misleading Governmental advice and criminal falsification of some manufacturers' emission standards, and noting that an increase in charges for existing diesel vehicles would have an unfair, punitive impact on the most vulnerable in society, including the disabled and those not in a financial position to change their vehicle."*

Speeches on the amendment to the special motion were made by Councillors Andrew Brown (for the Opposition) and Councillors Bora Kwon, Stephen Cowan, and Wesley Harcourt (for the Administration).

The amendment to the special motion was then put to the vote:

FOR	7
AGAINST	29
NOT VOTING	0

The motion was declared **LOST**.

Speeches on the substantive motion were made by Councillors Andrew Brown (for the Opposition) and Councillor Stephen Cowan (for the Administration). Councillor Bora Kwon then made a speech winding up the debate before the substantive motion was put to the vote.

FOR	UNANIMOUS
AGAINST	0
NOT VOTING	0

The motion was declared **CARRIED**.

8.42pm – RESOLVED

This Council notes with concern the recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report on global climate change impacts and the recent Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES) reports on global species and habitat loss.

Further to this, Council:

1. Declares its recognition of global climate and biodiversity emergencies.
2. Agrees the Council will:
 - Set up a new cross-cutting climate change emergency unit to accelerate its strategy and actions across all Council departments and activities.

- Initiate an independent, resident-led, climate change emergency commission to publicly develop ground-breaking policies that tackle climate change.
 - Set a target date of 2030 for the whole of the Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham to be carbon zero.
 - Review our resident-led commissions on air quality and biodiversity to consider how the Council can further strengthen local protection and enhancement of species, habitats and ecosystems and air quality systems under available powers.
3. Requests that the Chief Executive write to the Chancellor of the Exchequer stating the concern of the Council with respect to the above, the likely national impact on the economy and on the well-being of citizens, and requesting government funding be made available to implement swift appropriate actions in response.

Under Standing Order 15(e)(3), Councillor Colin Aherne moved to give precedence to Special Motion 5. The motion was agreed.

7.5 **Special Motion 5 - Hammersmith Bridge**

8.43pm – Councillor Wesley Harcourt moved, seconded by Councillor Stephen Cowan, the special motion in their names:

“The Council recognises the complex engineering issues that led to the closure of Hammersmith Bridge to motorised traffic.

The Council thanks Mayor Sadiq Khan and Deputy Mayor Heidi Alexander for their robust and effective support to fully restore Hammersmith Bridge and is grateful for the close working relationship with TfL for working with LBHF on this important work.

The Council agrees to continue to work together with all those committed to genuinely supporting the residents and businesses affected by the bridge’s closure.”

Speeches on the special motion were made by Councillors Wesley Harcourt and Stephen Cowan (for the Administration) and Councillor Andrew Brown (for the Opposition).

Under Standing Order 15(e)(6), Councillor Andrew Brown moved, seconded by Councillor Matt Thorley, an amendment in their names:

“Replace all after the Council

notes the traffic chaos in the Borough following the emergency closure of Hammersmith Bridge. The Council further notes that when repairs finally get under way that the estimated repair time is three years.

The Council therefore resolves to expedite works so that Hammersmith Bridge can reopen at the earliest opportunity.

This Council recognises the complex engineering issues, however pledges to have a fully funded plan for the full refurbishment of the bridge as a matter of urgency with the goal to have the bridge safely reopened within the shortest possible time-frame.”

Speeches on the amendment to the special motion were made by Councillors Andrew Brown, Belinda Donovan, Amanda Lloyd-Harris, Frances Stainton, Alex Karmel, and Matt Thorley (for the Opposition) and Councillors Stephen Cowan, Lisa Homan, Alan De’Ath, and Andrew Jones (for the Administration).

The amendment to the special motion was then put to the vote:

FOR	7
AGAINST	28
NOT VOTING	0

The motion was declared **LOST**.

Under Standing Order 15(e)(6), Councillor Andrew Brown moved, seconded by Councillor Matt Thorley, an amendment in their names:

“At end add

This Council further notes that LBHF is not a participant in the London Air Quality Network and resolves to become a participant as soon as possible.

This Council recognises the significant impact on air quality in many parts of the borough following the closure of the bridge and the cumulative impact of congestion, worsened by non-critical road works being carried out across the borough.

This Council therefore resolves to postpone all non-critical roadworks under its control and encourage utility companies, with a statutory right to carry out roadworks, to carry them out at weekends, or during school holidays to minimise further congestion.”

Under Standing Order 15(e)(12), Councillor Colin Aherne moved a motion to extend the meeting by two hours. The motion was then put to the vote:

FOR	28
AGAINST	0
NOT VOTING	7

The motion to extend the meeting was **CARRIED**.

Speeches on the amendment to the special motion were made by Councillor Donald Johnson (for the Opposition) and Councillor Stephen Cowan (for the Administration).

The amendment to the special motion was then put to the vote:

FOR	7
AGAINST	29
NOT VOTING	0

The motion was declared **LOST**.

The substantive motion was then put to the vote.

FOR	UNANIMOUS
AGAINST	0
NOT VOTING	0

The motion was declared **CARRIED**.

9.57pm – RESOLVED

The Council recognises the complex engineering issues that led to the closure of Hammersmith Bridge to motorised traffic.

The Council thanks Mayor Sadiq Khan and Deputy Mayor Heidi Alexander for their robust and effective support to fully restore Hammersmith Bride and is grateful for the close working relationship with TfL for working with LBHF on this important work.

The Council agrees to continue to work together with all those committed to genuinely supporting the residents and businesses affected by the bridge's closure.

The Council agreed to give precedence on the agenda to Special Motions 3 and 4.

7.3 Special Motion 3 - Remaining in the European Union

Councillor Rory Vaughan stated that as an employee of the Financial Services regulator he had to declare an interest in this special motion. He left the room before the debate started. He did not vote on the motion.

9.58pm – Councillor Andrew Jones moved, seconded by Councillor Christabel Cooper, the special motion in their names:

“This Council agrees that the best possible deal for all Britons is for the UK to remain a full member of the European Union and calls on the government to ensure that is an option.

The Council regrets that following the referendum result the government falsely argued it had a mandate for a Hard Brexit; allowed hardline Brexiteers to dictate its agenda; set naïve and unachievable ‘red lines’; triggered Article 50 without a clear plan for what would happen next; and then arranged a Conservative Party leadership contest that has squandered the short amount of time available to stop this Brexit madness and govern for all the people of our country – not just the few.

The Council therefore:

- *Re-affirms its demand that the Government rule out a No Deal Brexit*
- *Re-affirms its call for the Government to carry out a People’s Vote with the option to Remain*
- *Calls on the government to revoke Article 50 rather than leave the EU with No Deal.*

The Council notes that both current contenders for the leadership of the Conservative Party have stated they will deliver Brexit even if that means No Deal. It also notes one of these candidates, Jeremy Hunt, stated he regarded that numerous businesses going bankrupt was an acceptable price to pay for delivering Brexit in this manner. It also notes the support Mr Hunt has from the MP for Chelsea and Fulham, Greg Hands.

This Council recognises the gravity of such a reckless and irresponsible position for the future well-being of Borough residents and those across the UK. It notes that such a course of action will most likely lead to a recession, wreck significant numbers of businesses, increase unemployment and lead to falling standards of living for Borough residents. It is likely to have a negative impact on the life chances of both current and future generations in this Borough for decades to come.”

Speeches on the special motion were made by Councillors Andrew Jones, Christabel Cooper, Ben Coleman, Patricia Quigley, Guy Vincent, Max Schmid, Fiona Smith, Stephen Cowan, and Bora Kwon (for the Administration) and Councillors Alex Karmel and Andrew Brown (for the Opposition).

Councillor Andrew Jones made a speech winding up the debate before the motion was put to the vote. Councillors called for a named vote.

FOR	AGAINST	NOT VOTING
Aherne	Brown (A)	Brown (D)
Caleb-Landy	Karmel	
Cassidy	Lloyd-Harris	
Coleman	Stainton	
Cooper	Thorley	
Cowan		
Culhane		
De’Ath		
Fennimore		

Harcourt
Harvey
Holder
Homan
Jones
Kwon
Morton
Murphy
Perez
Qayyum
Quigley
Ree
Richardson
Schmid
Siddique
Smith
Uberoi
Umeh
Vincent

FOR	28
AGAINST	5
NOT VOTING	1

The motion was declared **CARRIED**.

10.47pm – RESOLVED

This Council agrees that the best possible deal for all Britons is for the UK to remain a full member of the European Union and calls on the government to ensure that is an option.

The Council regrets that following the referendum result the government falsely argued it had a mandate for a Hard Brexit; allowed hardline Brexiteers to dictate its agenda; set naïve and unachievable ‘red lines’; triggered Article 50 without a clear plan for what would happen next; and then arranged a Conservative Party leadership contest that has squandered the short amount of time available to stop this Brexit madness and govern for all the people of our country – not just the few.

The Council therefore:

- Re-affirms its demand that the Government rule out a No Deal Brexit
- Re-affirms its call for the Government to carry out a People’s Vote with the option to Remain
- Calls on the government to revoke Article 50 rather than leave the EU with No Deal.

The Council notes that both current contenders for the leadership of the Conservative Party have stated they will deliver Brexit even if that means No Deal. It also notes one of these candidates, Jeremy Hunt, stated he regarded that numerous businesses going bankrupt was an acceptable price to pay for delivering Brexit in this manner. It also notes the support Mr Hunt has from the MP for Chelsea and Fulham, Greg Hands.

This Council recognises the gravity of such a reckless and irresponsible position for the future well-being of Borough residents and those across the UK. It notes that such a course of action will most likely lead to a recession, wreck significant numbers of businesses, increase unemployment and lead to falling standards of living for Borough residents. It is likely to have a negative impact on the life chances of both current and future generations in this Borough for decades to come.

7.4 **Special Motion 4 - Neighbourhood Improvements In Shepherd's Bush and Holland Park**

10.47pm – Councillor Iain Cassidy moved, seconded by Councillor Max Schmid, the special motion in their names:

“This Council supports the plans our Borough officers have developed in partnership with TfL to deliver world-class neighbourhood improvements to Wood Lane and Shepherd’s Bush Green, which will encourage walking, cycling and public transport use, helping to make our Borough greener and healthier.

This Council expresses concern that the Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea decided to pull out of this project without waiting for the results of the formal consultation and without putting forward any realistic alternative proposals that would fulfil the project’s stated aims of improving and enabling walking and cycling in that area of West London.

This Council recognises that many residents in Kensington & Chelsea have legitimate concerns about the proposed scheme. However, it notes that Kensington & Chelsea Conservative Councillors did little to address those concerns and simply decided to cancel their portion of the scheme without making any effort to work with their residents or with TfL and LBHF to improve or enhance the existing proposals.

This Council commits to continue working with TfL, and more importantly with our residents, to ensure that the neighbourhood improvements in Shepherd’s Bush will benefit those living locally as well as those visiting the area.”

Speeches on the special motion were made by Councillors Iain Cassidy, Max Schmid, and Lisa Homan (for the Administration) and Councillor Andrew Brown (for the Opposition).

Councillor Iain Cassidy made a speech winding up the debate before the motion was put to the vote.

FOR	29
AGAINST	0
NOT VOTING	5

The motion was declared **CARRIED**.

23.13pm – RESOLVED

This Council supports the plans our Borough officers have developed in partnership with TfL to deliver world-class neighbourhood improvements to Wood Lane and Shepherd’s Bush Green, which will encourage walking, cycling and public transport use, helping to make our Borough greener and healthier.

This Council expresses concern that the Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea decided to pull out of this project without waiting for the results of the formal consultation and without putting forward any realistic alternative proposals that would fulfil the project’s stated aims of improving and enabling walking and cycling in that area of West London.

This Council recognises that many residents in Kensington & Chelsea have legitimate concerns about the proposed scheme. However, it notes that Kensington & Chelsea Conservative Councillors did little to address those concerns and simply decided to cancel their portion of the scheme without making any effort to work with their residents or with TfL and LBHF to improve or enhance the existing proposals.

This Council commits to continue working with TfL, and more importantly with our residents, to ensure that the neighbourhood improvements in Shepherd’s Bush will benefit those living locally as well as those visiting the area.

7.1 Special Motion 1 - Hammersmith Bridge

The special motion was withdrawn.

Meeting started: 7.00 pm
Meeting ended: 11.15 pm

Mayor